

INVARIANTS FOR E_0 -SEMIGROUPS ON II_1 FACTORS

OLIVER T. MARGETTS AND R. SRINIVASAN

We humbly dedicate this paper to the memory of Bill Arveson.

ABSTRACT. We introduce four new cocycle conjugacy invariants for E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors: a coupling index, a dimension for the gauge group, a *super product system* and a C^* -semiflow. Using noncommutative Itô integrals we show that the dimension of the gauge group can be computed from the structure of the *additive cocycles*. We do this for the Clifford flows and even Clifford flows on the hyperfinite II_1 factor, and for the free flows on the free group factor $L(F_\infty)$. In all cases the index is 0, which implies they have trivial gauge groups. We compute the super product systems for these families and, using this, we show they have trivial coupling index. Finally, using the C^* -semiflow and the boundary representation of Powers and Alevras, we show that the families of Clifford flows and even Clifford flows contain infinitely many mutually non-cocycle-conjugate E_0 -semigroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

A weak- $*$ continuous semigroup of unital $*$ -endomorphisms on a von Neumann algebra is called an E_0 -semigroup. They arise naturally in the study of open quantum systems ([5], [8]), the theory of interactions ([2], [3], [4]), and in algebraic quantum field theory (simply restrict the time evolution to an algebra of observables corresponding to the future light cone). For E_0 -semigroups on type I factors the subject has grown rapidly since its inception in [21] (see the monograph [4] for extensive references). Arveson showed that these E_0 -semigroups are completely classified by continuous tensor products of Hilbert spaces, called *product systems*, and this gives a rough division into “types” I, II and III. The type I E_0 -semigroups on

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55; Secondary 46L40, 46L53, 46C99.

Key words and phrases. $*$ -endomorphisms, E_0 -semigroups, II_1 factors, non-commutative probability, white noise, super product systems.

type I factors are just the CCR flows ([4]), but there are uncountably many exotic product systems of types II and III ([13], [14] [18], [25]).

By contrast, after their study was initiated by Powers (in the same 1988 paper [21]), there has been little progress regarding E_0 -semigroups on type II_1 factors. In [1] Alexis Alevras made developments for E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors analogous to the theory on type I factors. He associated a product system of Hilbert modules to every E_0 -semigroup and showed that they form a complete invariant (product systems of Hilbert modules have also been considered in [7], [8], but they are slightly different in form and function to the ones considered here). He also introduced an index using Powers' boundary representation ([21]) and computed the index for several important cases.

Still, this does not classify even the simplest examples of E_0 -semigroups on the hyperfinite II_1 factor. One problem is that Alevras was unable to show his index is an invariant up to cocycle conjugacy (see Section 2). For type I factors, Powers showed his index is a cocycle conjugacy invariant by proving it equals the Arveson index, an intrinsic property of the product system ([22], [23]). For II_1 factors there is no known connection between the index of the semigroup and the product system of Hilbert modules. Directly related to this is a lack of effective invariants for these objects.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give the basic definitions of E_0 -semigroups, cocycle conjugacy, units and the gauge group. We introduce three important families of examples: Clifford flows and even Clifford flows on the hyperfinite II_1 factor, and free flows on the free group factor $L(F_\infty)$. All three are, in a generalized sense, second quantizations of unilateral shifts on L^2 spaces.

In Section 3, for an E_0 -semigroup α on M , we use the antilinear *-isomorphism $j = Ad(J) : M \rightarrow M'$ of Tomita-Takesaki theory to define a complementary E_0 -semigroup α' on M' . We associate a Hilbert space to the pair (α, α') and the dimension of this Hilbert space is a cocycle conjugacy invariant, called the coupling index. If there exists an E_0 -semigroup σ on $B(H)$ extending both α and α' then the coupling index is equal to the usual Powers-Arveson index of σ . It should be noted that this index is not same as the index defined by Alevras in [1] (they differ, for instance, on Clifford flows and even Clifford flows). We finish the section by associating a Hilbert space $H(G(\alpha))$ to the gauge group $G(\alpha)$ and showing the dimension of this Hilbert space is another cocycle conjugacy invariant.

In section 4 we define *super product systems*, generalisations of Arveson's product systems of Hilbert spaces. These are similar in spirit to the subproduct systems already studied in [6], [19] and play a prominent role in the paper. We introduce multiplicative and additive units for super product systems and, in section 5, we prove that there is a one to one correspondence between the two. To this end we develop a noncommutative stochastic calculus for super product systems, very similar to that of [12]. This gives an explicit formula for computing the index of a super product system from its additive units.

In section 6 we study the gauge group from the point of view of noncommutative probability. It follows from the invariance of the trace that an element $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of the gauge group enjoys the future independence property $\tau(U_t \alpha_t(x)) = \tau(U_t) \tau(\alpha_t(x))$ for all $x \in M$, $t \geq 0$, hence $G(\alpha)$ generates a noncommutative white noise, similar to those of [16], [12]. By recasting the white noise as a super product system we see the dimension of the gauge group can be computed using the methods of section 5. Furthermore, $\dim H(G(\alpha))$ is zero precisely when the gauge group is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}, +)$.

In section 7 we compute the additive cocycles for the Clifford flows, even Clifford flows, and free flows explicitly. Using the results of section 6 we show that the gauge group is trivial for all these examples. By a result of Arveson, this shows that a one-parameter group of automorphisms on $B(H)$ extending one of these semigroups is completely determined by its "past" and "future" E_0 -semigroups on M' , respectively M (see [3], [4]).

The pair (α, α') gives us a pair of product systems of Hilbert modules. In Section 8, we show their intersection is a super product system. We prove this is an invariant for α and compute it for Clifford flows and even Clifford flows. This has strong structural implications. For instance, it precludes the existence of certain extensions of the Clifford, or even Clifford flows, to $B(H)$ and allows us show the coupling index is 0 for all the Clifford flows and even Clifford flows. For free flows we show that the super product system is trivial and hence free flows also have coupling index 0.

In section 9 we introduce the notion of a τ -semiflow, the natural counterpart to the C^* -semiflows introduced by Floricel for type I factors ([10]). We show that the τ -semiflow is a cocycle conjugacy invariant and use this to show that, for a certain class of E_0 -semigroups, cocycle conjugacy is equivalent to conjugacy. Using this, together with the computation of Powers-Alevras index for even Clifford flows, we are able to prove that the even Clifford flows are not

cocycle conjugate among themselves for different ranks. We lift this result to the Clifford flows with a simple argument.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. An E_0 -semigroup on the von Neumann algebra M is a semigroup $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of normal, unital $*$ -endomorphisms of M satisfying

- (i) $\alpha_0 = id$,
- (ii) $\alpha_t(M) \neq M$ for all $t > 0$,
- (iii) $t \mapsto \rho(\alpha_t(x))$ is continuous for all $x \in M$, $\rho \in M_*$.

Definition 2.2. A cocycle for an E_0 -semigroup α on M is a strongly continuous family of unitaries $U = (U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $U_s \alpha_s(U_t) = U_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$.

For a cocycle U , we automatically have $U_0 = 1$. Furthermore the family of endomorphisms $\alpha_t^U(x) := U_t \alpha_t(x) U_t^*$ defines an E_0 -semigroup. This leads to the following equivalence relations on E_0 -semigroups.

Definition 2.3. Let α and β be E_0 -semigroups on von Neumann algebras M and N .

- (i) α and β are conjugate if there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\beta_t = \theta \circ \alpha_t \circ \theta^{-1}$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (ii) α and β are cocycle conjugate if there exists a cocycle U for α such that β is conjugate to α^U .

Let M be a von Neumann algebra acting standardly and $\pi : M \rightarrow B(H)$ a normal representation. Then for an E_0 -semigroup α on $\pi(M)$ there exists a conjugate semigroup $\pi^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \pi$ on M . Thus with out loss of generality we may restrict to algebras acting standardly.

Let α, β be E_0 -semigroups acting on II_1 factors M and N and suppose $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ is a $*$ -isomorphism intertwining α and β . By uniqueness of the trace on M we have $\tau_N \circ \theta = \tau_M$, hence θ extends to a unitary $U : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(N)$. This unitary satisfies

- (i) $U \Omega_M = \Omega_N$,
- (ii) $U M U^* = N$,
- (iii) $\beta_t(x) = U \alpha_t(U^* x U) U^*$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in N$,

where Ω_M (respectively Ω_N) is unique cyclic and separating vector (which evaluates the trace), given by the image of 1_M (respectively 1_N) in $L^2(M)$ (respectively $L^2(N)$). For II_1 factors acting standardly we take this as the definition of conjugacy.

For the rest of the paper M will denote a II_1 factor with trace τ acting canonically on $H := L^2(M)$ with cyclic and separating trace vector Ω . Let α be an E_0 -semigroup on M . Associated to α is a decreasing family of von Neumann algebras

$$M = \alpha_0(M) \supset \alpha_s(M) \supset \alpha_t(M) \supset \mathbb{C}1 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

By taking relative commutants we get a filtration

$$\mathbb{C}1 = M_0 \subset M_s \subset M_t \subset M \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

where $M_t := M \cap \alpha_t(M)'$.

Using the cyclic and separating property of Ω we can well-define a linear operator on the subspace $M\Omega$ by

$$S_t x \Omega := \alpha_t(x) \Omega \quad \text{for all } x \in M.$$

By invariance of the trace under α_t , each S_t extends to an isometry and it is easily seen that $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup satisfying $S_t x = \alpha_t(x) S_t$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.4. *A unit for α is a strongly continuous semigroup $T = \{T_t : t \geq 0\}$ of operators in $B(H)$ such that $T_0 = 1$ and $T_t x = \alpha_t(x) T_t$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in M$. Denote the collection of units by \mathcal{U}_α . We call $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$ the canonical unit associated to α .*

A gauge cocycle for α is a cocycle which satisfies the adaptedness condition $U_t \in M_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Notice that the product of two gauge cocycles U and V is another gauge cocycle and that the adjoint U^* of a gauge cocycle is a gauge cocycle. Thus, under the multiplication $(UV)_t := U_t V_t$, the collection of all gauge cocycles forms a group, denoted by $G(\alpha)$, called the gauge group of α .

Proposition 2.5. *The multiplication $(U, T) \mapsto UT$ defines an action of the gauge group on \mathcal{U}_α .*

Proof. The adaptedness condition ensures that

$$U_t T_t x = U_t \alpha_t(x) T_t = \alpha_t(x) U_t T_t \quad (t \geq 0, x \in M),$$

and it follows from the cocycle identity that

$$U_s T_s U_t T_t = U_s \alpha_s(U_t) T_s T_t = U_{s+t} T_{s+t} \quad (s, t \geq 0).$$

Moreover, for $\xi \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(U_t T_t - I)\xi\|^2 &= \|U_t T_t \xi\|^2 + \|\xi\|^2 - 2\Re \langle \xi, U_t T_t \xi \rangle \\ &= \|T_t \xi\|^2 + \|\xi\|^2 - 2\Re \langle U_t^* \xi, T_t \xi \rangle \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus, since $U_0 = 1$, UT is a unit. \square

By Proposition 2.5, the canonical unit induces a map

$$G(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \quad U \mapsto US.$$

If $US = VS$ for two gauge cocycles U, V then $(U_t - V_t)S_t = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, so that $(U_t - V_t)\Omega = 0$. As τ is faithful we obtain $U_t - V_t = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, so the canonical map $G(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is an injection.

We end this section with some examples and by fixing some notation. These examples are already discussed in [1].

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbf{k} an arbitrary separable real Hilbert space with dimension equal to $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$, and by $\mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C}$ be the complexification of \mathbf{k} .

Let $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})$ or $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C})$ be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions taking values in \mathbf{k} or $\mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C}$ respectively. Let $\{T_t\}$ be the shift semigroup of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (T_t f)(s) &= 0, & s < t, \\ &= f(s - t), & s \geq t, \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C})$. They are semigroups of isometries and we denote their restriction to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})$ also by $\{T_t\}$.

The full Fock space is defined by

$$\Gamma_f(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C})) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^\mathbb{C})^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{C}\Omega$, and Ω will be called as vacuum vector.

Example 2.6. Clifford flows Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ its complexification. Write $\Gamma_a(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C})$ for the antisymmetric Fock space over $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$, i.e. the subspace of $\Gamma_f(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C})$ generated by antisymmetric tensors. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ the Fermionic creation operator $a^*(f)$ is the bounded operator defined by the linear extension of

$$a^*(f)\xi = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } \xi = \Omega \\ f \wedge \xi & \text{if } \xi \perp \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f \wedge \xi$ is the image of $f \otimes \xi \in \Gamma_f(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C})$ under orthogonal projection onto $\Gamma_a(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C})$. The annihilation operator is defined by $a(f) = a^*(f)^*$. The unital C^* -algebra $Cl(\mathcal{H})$ generated by the self-adjoint elements

$$\{u(f) = (a(f) + a^*(f))/\sqrt{2} : f \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

is the Clifford algebra over \mathcal{H} . The vacuum Ω is cyclic and defines a tracial state for $Cl(\mathcal{H})$, so the weak completion yields a II_1 factor; in fact it is the hyperfinite II_1 factor \mathcal{R} [26].

If $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})$, where \mathbf{k} is a separable Hilbert space with dimension $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ as mentioned before, then T the unilateral shift

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})$ defines an E_0 -semigroup on \mathcal{R} by extension of

$$\alpha_t^n(u(f_1) \cdots u(f_k)) = u(T_t f_1) \cdots u(T_t f_k)$$

called the Clifford flow of rank n .

Example 2.7. Even Clifford flows The von Neumann algebra generated by the even products

$$\mathcal{R}_e = \{u(f_1)u(f_2) \cdots u(f_{2n}) : f_i \in L^2((0, \infty), \mathbf{k}), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is also isomorphic to the hyperfinite II_1 factor. The restriction of the Clifford flow α^n of rank n to this subfactor is called the even Clifford flow of rank n . We denote it by β^n .

Example 2.8. Free flows

Let \mathbf{k} be a real Hilbert space of dimension $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ and for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})$ define the operator $s(f) = \frac{l(f) + l(f)^*}{2}$ on $\Gamma_f(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ where

$$l(f)\xi = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } \xi = \Omega, \\ f \otimes \xi & \text{if } \langle \xi, \Omega \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

The von Neumann algebra $\Phi(\mathbf{k}) = \{s(f) : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})\}''$, is isomorphic to the free group factor $L(F_\infty)$ and the vacuum is cyclic and separating with $\langle \Omega, x\Omega \rangle = \tau(x)$ (see [26]).

Let T be the unilateral shift on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})$. Then there exists a unique E_0 -semigroup γ^n on $\Phi(\mathbf{k})$ satisfying

$$\gamma_t^n(s(f)) := s(T_t f) \quad (f \in \mathbf{k}, t \geq 0)$$

(see [1]), this is called the free flow of multiplicity $\dim \mathbf{k}$.

Notation: Throughout this paper, for $E \subset B(H)$, we shall write $[E]$ for the closure, in the weak operator topology, of the linear subspace of $B(H)$ spanned by E . Similarly, if $S \subset H$ is a subset of vectors, we shall write $[S]$ for the norm-closed subspace of H spanned by S . \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

3. MULTI-UNITS, INDEX AND GAUGE DIMENSION

We begin this section by defining a complementary, or dual E_0 -semigroup. Let J be the modular conjugation associated to the vector Ω by the Tomita-Takesaki theory. We can define a complementary E_0 -semigroup on M' by setting

$$\alpha'_t(x') = J\alpha_t(Jx'J)J \quad (x' \in M').$$

Then we define a semigroup of maps on $M \cup M'$ by setting

$$\mu_t(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_t(x), & x \in M, \\ \alpha'_t(x), & x \in M'. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.1. *If the E_0 -semigroups α and β on M are cocycle conjugate, the complementary E_0 -semigroups are also cocycle conjugate.*

Proof. It is easy to see conjugacy is preserved under complementation. Suppose $\{U_t\}$ is an α -cocycle and $\beta_t(\cdot) = U_t\alpha(\cdot)U_t^*$. For any $t \geq 0$, let $v_t = JU_tJ$, then $v_t \in M'$ and v_t satisfies

$$v_{s+t} = Ju_{s+t}J = JU_sJJ\alpha_s(U_t)J = JU_sJ\alpha'_s(JU_tJ) = v_s\alpha'_s(v_t).$$

So $\{v_t\}$ forms an α' -cocycle. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'_t(m') &= J\beta_t(Jm'J)J \\ &= JU_t\alpha(Jm'J)U_t^*J \\ &= (JU_tJ)(J\alpha(Jm'J)J)(JU_t^*J) \\ &= v_t\alpha'_t(m')v_t^*, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m' \in M'$. □

Definition 3.2. A μ unit or multi-unit for the E_0 -semigroup α is a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded operators $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying

$$T_tx = \mu_t(x)T_t \quad \text{for all } x \in M \cup M'$$

together with $T_0 = 1$. That is, a multi-unit is a unit for both α and α' . Denote the collection of μ units for α by $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}$.

We have already noticed $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a unit for α . Since the E_0 -semigroup α is $*$ -preserving, the modular conjugation operator commutes with S_t for each $t \geq 0$. This implies

$$\alpha'_t(m')S_t = J\alpha_t(Jm'J)JS_t = JS_t(Jm'J)J = S_tm'$$

for all $m' \in M'$, $t \geq 0$, and $S \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}$. So the collection of multi-units for any E_0 -semigroup in a II_1 factor is always non-empty.

Lemma 3.3. *If U is a gauge cocycle for α then US is a μ unit for α . Thus $U \mapsto US$ defines an injection $G(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}$.*

Proof. Since US is a unit for α , we need only show that it is also a unit for α' . For all $t \geq 0$, $x \in M'$ and $y \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_t(x)U_tS_t y\Omega &= \alpha'_t(x)U_t\alpha_t(y)\Omega = U_t\alpha_t(y)\alpha'_t(x)\Omega \\ &= U_t\alpha_t(y)J\alpha_t(JxJ)J\Omega = U_t\alpha_t(y)\alpha_t(Jx^*J)\Omega \\ &= U_tS_t yJx^*J\Omega = U_tS_t yx\Omega = U_tS_t xy\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let X and Y be μ units for α . Then $X_t^* Y_t = e^{\lambda t} 1$ for some constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. For any $x \in M \cup M'$ we have

$$X_t^* Y_t x = X_t^* \mu_t(x) Y_t = (\mu_t(x^*) X_t)^* Y_t = (X_t x^*)^* Y_t = x X_t^* Y_t,$$

hence $X_t^* Y_t \in (M \cup M')' = \mathbb{C}1$. We note that

$$X_s^* Y_s X_t^* Y_t = X_t^* X_s^* Y_s Y_t = X_{s+t}^* Y_{s+t}$$

and hence the complex valued function $f(t) = \langle \Omega, X_t^* Y_t \Omega \rangle$ is continuous and satisfies $f(s+t) = f(s)f(t)$. Since $f(0) = 1$ we have $f(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. □

Thus we can define a covariance function $c : \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'} \times \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $X_t^* Y_t = e^{c(X, Y)t} 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Since the covariance function is conditionally positive definite (see Proposition 2.5.2 of [4]) the assignment

$$\langle f, g \rangle \mapsto \sum_{X, Y \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}} c(X, Y) \overline{f(X)} g(Y)$$

defines a positive semidefinite form on the space of finitely supported functions $f : \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\sum_{X \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}} f(X) = 0$. Hence we may quotient and complete to obtain a Hilbert space $H(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'})$.

Definition 3.5. *Define the coupling index $\text{Ind}_c(\alpha)$ of the E_0 -semigroup α as the cardinal $\dim H(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'})$.*

Proposition 3.6. *If α and β are cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups then they have the same coupling index. Furthermore, if γ is an E_0 -semigroup on the II_1 factor W then*

$$\text{Ind}_c(\alpha \otimes \gamma) \geq \text{Ind}_c(\alpha) + \text{Ind}_c(\gamma).$$

Proof. For the first statement it is enough to give a bijection $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\beta, \beta'}$ preserving the covariance. For conjugate semigroups with intertwining unitary V , Ad_V clearly does the job. So assume $\alpha = \beta^U$, for an α -cocycle U . Then the map $T \mapsto JUJUT$ suffices (see Theorem 8.11).

For the inequality note that every pair of μ units X^α, X^γ for α and γ respectively give a μ unit $X^\alpha \otimes X^\gamma$ for $\alpha \otimes \gamma$. As

$$(X_t^\alpha \otimes X_t^\gamma)^* (Y_t^\alpha \otimes Y_t^\gamma) = e^{(c(X^\alpha, Y^\alpha) + c(X^\gamma, Y^\gamma))t} 1$$

there exists an isometry

$$H(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}) \oplus H(\mathcal{U}_{\gamma, \gamma'}) \hookrightarrow H(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha \otimes \gamma, (\alpha \otimes \gamma)'})$$

(see [4] Lemma 3.7.5). □

Proposition 3.7. *Let α be an E_0 -semigroup on the II_1 factor M . If there exists an E_0 -semigroup σ on $B(L^2(M))$ satisfying*

$$\sigma_t(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_t(x) & \text{if } x \in M, \\ \alpha'_t(x) & \text{if } x \in M', \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

then $\text{Ind}_c(\alpha)$ is equal to the Powers-Arveson index of σ .

Proof. Clearly if T is a unit for σ then it is a μ unit for α . Conversely if T is a μ unit for α and $x \in B(H)$ we may pick nets $(y_i)_{i \in I} \subset M$ and $(z_i)_{i \in I} \subset M'$ satisfying $y_i z_i \rightarrow x$ in the ultraweak topology. Then by ultraweak continuity of σ , $\sigma_t(x)T_t = \lim_{i \in I} \sigma_t(y_i z_i)T_t$, but

$$\sigma_t(y_i z_i)T_t = \alpha_t(y_i)\alpha'_t(z_i)T_t = T_t y_i z_i \rightarrow T_t x,$$

that is, T is a unit for σ . Thus $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'} = \mathcal{U}_\sigma$ and the induced covariance function on \mathcal{U}_σ is precisely that of [4], section 2.5. \square

Remark 3.8. *All our known examples of E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors do not admit an extension as described in Proposition 3.7. This follows from our computations on the super product systems associated to these examples, in section 8. It is an interesting open question to construct an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor which admits such an extension to $B(L^2(\cdot))$.*

The gauge group also forms a cocycle conjugacy invariant for α . Conjugate E_0 -semigroups clearly have isomorphic gauge groups, and if U is a unitary cocycle for α and $\beta = \alpha^U$ then we have the group isomorphism $Ad_U : G(\alpha) \rightarrow G(\beta)$.

Lemma 3.9. *If $U, V \in G(\alpha)$ then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau(U_t^* V_t) = e^{\lambda t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. In particular we have the identity*

$$(1) \quad \tau(U_{s+t}^* V_{s+t}) = \tau(U_s^* V_s) \tau(U_t^* V_t) \quad (s, t \geq 0).$$

Proof. As Ω is invariant under S we have

$$(2) \quad \tau(U_t^* V_t) = \langle \Omega, U_t^* V_t \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, S_t^* U_t^* V_t S_t \Omega \rangle = e^{c(US, VS)t}$$

as required. Equation (1) follows immediately. \square

For a pair of gauge cocycles U, V , we will write the corresponding covariance function on $G(\alpha)$ as $c_*(U, V) := c(US, VS)$. It is clear that $c_*(\cdot, \cdot)$ is just the pullback of $c(\cdot, \cdot)$ along the injective map $G(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}$ induced by the canonical unit. Equation (2) thus reduces to $\tau(U_t^* V_t) = e^{c_*(U, V)t}$. We can now repeat the above construction with $(G(\alpha), c_*(\cdot, \cdot))$ in place of $(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \alpha'}, c(\cdot, \cdot))$ to obtain a Hilbert space $H(G(\alpha))$.

Definition 3.10. *The dimension of the gauge group $G(\alpha)$ is defined to be the cardinal $\dim G(\alpha) := \dim H(G(\alpha))$. We will also refer to this as the gauge index of the E_0 -semigroup α .*

Theorem 3.11. *The gauge index is a cocycle conjugacy invariant. Furthermore, it satisfies*

$$\dim G(\alpha \otimes \beta) \geq \dim G(\alpha) + \dim G(\beta)$$

for any E_0 -semigroup β on a second II_1 factor.

Proof. If U is a unitary cocycle for α then for $V, W \in G(\alpha)$,

$$\tau(U_s V_s^* U_s^* U_s W_s U_s^*) = \tau(U_s V_s^* W_s U_s^*) = \tau(V_s^* W_s),$$

so $\dim H(G(\alpha)) = \dim H(G(\alpha^U))$. If β is conjugate to α^U , then as the intertwining $*$ -isomorphism also preserves the trace $\dim H(G(\alpha^U))$ is equal to $\dim H(G(\beta))$. The inequality follows as in Proposition 3.6. \square

4. SUPER PRODUCT SYSTEMS

In this section and following section we develop tools to analyse semigroups on II_1 factors. Here we introduce the notion of a super product system of Hilbert spaces, which is a generalization of the product systems introduced by Arveson. The second named author heard this notion from C. Köstler during a conversation, but we could not find any literature dealing with ‘super product systems’.

Definition 4.1. *A super product system of Hilbert spaces is a one parameter family of separable Hilbert spaces $\{H_t : t \geq 0\}$, together with isometries*

$$U_{s,t} : H_s \otimes H_t \mapsto H_{s+t} \text{ for } s, t \in (0, \infty),$$

satisfying the following two axioms of associativity and measurability.

(i) (Associativity) *For any $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in (0, \infty)$*

$$U_{s_1, s_2+s_3}(1_{H_{s_1}} \otimes U_{s_2, s_3}) = U_{s_1+s_2, s_3}(U_{s_1, s_2} \otimes 1_{H_{s_3}}).$$

(ii) (Measurability) *The space $\mathcal{H} = \{(t, \xi_t) : t \in (0, \infty), \xi_t \in H_t\}$ is equipped with a structure of standard Borel space that is compatible with the projection $p : \mathcal{H} \mapsto (0, \infty)$ given by $p((t, \xi_t)) = t$, tensor products and the inner products as in the definition of a product system (see 3.1.2, [4]).*

Remarks 4.2. *The theory of subproduct systems or inclusion systems is studied in [6] and [19], where the embedding map is reversed, that is the operator $U_{s,t} : H_s \otimes H_t \mapsto H_{s+t}$ is assumed to be a co-isometry. But unlike subproduct systems, which can possibly be finite*

dimensional, super product systems are either all one-dimensional or all infinite dimensional. If $d(t)$ is the dimension of the separable Hilbert space H_t , then, since $H_s \otimes H_t$ embeds isometrically into H_{s+t} , the relation $d(s)d(t) \leq d(s+t)$ is satisfied for all $s, t > 0$. The only possibility is $d(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ or $d(t) = \infty$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 4.3. By an isomorphism between super product systems $(H_t^1, U_{s,t}^1)$ and $(H_t^2, U_{s,t}^2)$ we mean an isomorphism of Borel spaces $V : \mathcal{H}^1 \mapsto \mathcal{H}^2$ whose restriction to each fiber provides an unitary operator $V_t : H_t^1 \mapsto H_t^2$ satisfying

$$(3) \quad V_{s+t} U_{s,t}^1 = U_{s,t}^2 (V_s \otimes V_t).$$

Definition 4.4. A unit for a super product system $(H_t, U_{s,t})$ is a measurable section $\{u_t : u_t \in H_t\}$ satisfying

$$U_{s,t}(u_s \otimes u_t) = u_{s+t} \quad \forall s, t \in (0, \infty).$$

Similar to product systems, a super product system is called spatial if it admits a unit. From here onwards we assume all our super product systems admit a unit, since that is the kind of super product system we will encounter while dealing with Π_1 factors. We fix a unit denoted by $\{\Omega_t \in H_t\}$ and call that the canonical unit. We set $H_0 = \mathbb{C}\Omega_0$.

Definition 4.5. Let $(H_t, U_{s,t})$ be a spatial super product system with the canonical unit $\{\Omega_t\}$. A unital unit is a unit $\{u_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $\|u_t\| = 1$. An exponential unit is a unit $\{u_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $\langle u_t, \Omega_t \rangle = 1$. We denote the set of unital units by $\mathfrak{U}(H)$ and the collection of exponential units by $\mathfrak{U}_\Omega(H)$.

Remarks 4.6. Every unit $\{u_t\}$ satisfies

$$\|u_{s+t}\| = \|u_s \otimes u_t\| = \|u_s\| \|u_t\| \quad \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

So $\|u_t\| = e^{\lambda t}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand every unit also satisfies

$$\langle u_{s+t}, \Omega_{s+t} \rangle = \langle u_s \otimes u_t, \Omega_s \otimes \Omega_t \rangle = \langle u_s, \Omega_s \rangle \langle u_t, \Omega_t \rangle \quad \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

So $\langle u_t, \Omega_t \rangle = e^{\mu t}$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus given an exponential unit $\{u_t\}$ the unit $\{e^{-\lambda t} u_t\}$ is unital, and given a unital unit $\{u_t\}$ the unit $\{e^{-\mu t} u_t\}$ is exponential. It is easily seen that this defines a bijection $\mathfrak{U}_\Omega(H) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(H)$.

Definition 4.7. An addit for a spatial super product system $(H_t, U_{s,t})$, with canonical unit $\{\Omega_t\}$, is a measurable family of vectors $\{b_t : t \geq 0\}$ satisfying

- (i) $b_t \in H_t$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- (ii) $U_{s,t}(b_s \otimes \Omega_t) + U_{s,t}(\Omega_s \otimes b_t) = b_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$.

We say an addit is centred if $\langle \Omega_t, b_t \rangle = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Denote the set of all addits by $\mathfrak{A}(H)$.

Since Ω_t is a unit, every addit b can be written as $b_t = c_t + \lambda_t \Omega_t$ such that c is a centered addit and $\lambda_t \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $t \mapsto \lambda_t$ is measurable and $\lambda_{s+t} = \lambda_s + \lambda_t$, we have $\lambda_t = \lambda t$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let b and c be centered addits. Then*

$$(4) \quad \langle b_t, c_t \rangle = t \langle b_1, c_1 \rangle.$$

Proof. The function $t \mapsto \langle b_t, c_t \rangle$ is measurable, and $\langle b_{s+t}, c_{s+t} \rangle$ equals

$$= \langle U_{s,t}(b_s \otimes \Omega_t) + U_{s,t}(\Omega_s \otimes b_t), U_{s,t}(c_s \otimes \Omega_t) + U_{s,t}(\Omega_s \otimes c_t) \rangle,$$

but this is $\langle b_s, c_s \rangle + \langle b_t, c_t \rangle$, since the addits are centered. \square

5. NONCOMMUTATIVE ITÔ INTEGRALS

In this section we develop Itô Integrals on spatial super product systems, with respect to a centered addit. Using Itô Integrals, we provide a bijection between centered addits and exponential units. Throughout this section we fix a spatial super product system $(H_t, U_{s,t})$ with the canonical unit $\{\Omega_t : t \geq 0\}$.

Definition 5.1. *An adapted process is a family $x = \{x_t : t \geq 0\}$ satisfying $x_t \in H_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

An adapted process is simple if there exists a partition $0 \leq s_0 < s_1 < \dots$ of \mathbb{R}_+ into a countable family of intervals so that

$$x_t = U_{s_i, t-s_i}(x_i \otimes \Omega_{t-s_i}) \text{ if } t \in [s_i, s_{i+1}),$$

where $x_i \in H_{s_i}$ for each $i \geq 0$, and $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(s_n - s_{n-1}) > 0$.

Let x be a simple adapted process, b a centred addit and $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1$. Extend and redefine the partition for x so that $t_0 = s_m$, $t_1 = s_n$ and define

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} x_s db_s = \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} U_{s_i, s_{i+1}-s_i, t_1-s_{i+1}}(x_i \otimes b_{s_{i+1}-s_i} \otimes \Omega_{t_1-s_{i+1}}),$$

where $U_{r,s,t} : H_r \otimes H_s \otimes H_t \mapsto H_{r+s+t}$ is the canonical unitary operator well-defined by the associativity axiom (here for instance take $U_{r,s,t} = U_{r+s,t}(U_{r,s} \otimes 1_{H_t})$) Clearly the new process $\{\int_0^t x_s db_s : t \geq 0\}$ is adapted.

The definition of the above integral is well-defined. The fact that it does not depend on the partition with respect to which x is simple, follows from the additive property of the addit b and the multiplicative property of the unit $\{\Omega_t : t \geq 0\}$

Here is our version of Itô's identity.

Lemma 5.2. *Let x, y be two simple adapted processes. Then*

$$\left\langle \int_{t_0}^{t_1} x_s db_s, \int_{t_0}^{t_1} y_s db_s \right\rangle = \|b_1\|^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle x_s, y_s \rangle ds.$$

Proof. We may assume that the partitions for x and y are the same. For $i \neq j$ and $i < j$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x_i \otimes b_{s_{i+1}-s_i} \otimes \Omega_{t_1-s_{i+1}}, y_j \otimes b_{s_{j+1}-s_j} \otimes \Omega_{t_1-s_{j+1}} \rangle \\ &= \langle x_i \otimes b_{s_{i+1}-s_i} \otimes \Omega_{s_j-s_{i+1}}, y_j \rangle \langle \Omega_{s_{j+1}-s_j}, b_{s_{j+1}-s_j} \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, same is the case when $j < i$.

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{t_0}^{t_1} x_s db_s, \int_{t_0}^{t_1} y_s db_s \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \langle x_i \otimes b_{s_{i+1}-s_i}, y_i \otimes b_{s_{i+1}-s_i} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \langle x_i, y_i \rangle \|b_{s_{i+1}-s_i}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \langle x_i, y_i \rangle (s_{i+1} - s_i) \|b_1\|^2 \\ &= \|b_1\|^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle x_s, y_s \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 5.3. *An adapted process x is said to be a continuous process if the function $t \mapsto \|x_t\|^2$ is continuous. For a simple adapted process x and centred addit b , the noncommutative Itô integral $\int x_s db_s$ of x with respect to b is the continuous process $t \mapsto \int_0^t x_s db_s$.*

We say a sequence of adapted process $\{x^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to x in L^2 on any finite interval $[a, b]$, if $\int_a^b \|x_t^n - x_t\|^2 dt$ converges to 0.

Proposition 5.4. *Let x be a continuous adapted process such that there exists $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic with $F(0) = 0$ and, for all $s, t \geq 0$, $\langle x_{s+t}, U_{s,t}(x_s \otimes \Omega_t) \rangle = \|x_s\|^2 F'(t)$. Then on any finite interval we can approximate x in the L^2 norm by adapted step functions.*

Proof. Pick an interval $I = [r, s]$ and set $r_0^n = r, r_{i+1}^n = r_i^n + (s-r)n^{-1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Then define a simple adapted process

$$\begin{aligned} x_t^n &= U_{r_i^n, t-r_i^n}(x_{r_i^n} \otimes \Omega_{t-r_i^n}) \text{ if } x \in [r_i^n, r_{i+1}^n), \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n-1; \\ &= 0 \text{ if } t \geq s. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|x - x^n\|_{L^2(I)}^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{r_i^n}^{r_{i+1}^n} \|x_t - U_{r_i^n, t-r_i^n}(x_{r_i^n} \otimes \Omega_{t-r_i^n})\| dt \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{r_i^n}^{r_{i+1}^n} \|x_t\|^2 + \|x_{r_i^n}\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \langle x_t, U_{r_i^n, t-r_i^n}(x_{r_i^n} \otimes \Omega_{t-r_i^n}) \rangle dt \\
&= \int_r^s \|x_t\|^2 dt - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|x_{r_i^n}\|^2 \int_{r_i^n}^{r_{i+1}^n} 2\operatorname{Re} F'(t - r_i^n) - 1 dt \\
(5) \quad &= \int_r^s \|x_t\|^2 dt - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(2\operatorname{Re} F\left(\frac{s-r}{n}\right) - \frac{s-r}{n} \right) \|x_{r_i^n}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $t \mapsto \|x_t\|^2$ is continuous and F is analytic the sum in (5) tends to the Riemann integral $(2\operatorname{Re} F'(0) - 1) \int_r^s \|x_t\|^2 dt$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and since $F'(0) = 1$, we get the desired equality. \square

Thus, if x is a process satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 5.4 we may define the Itô integral of x with respect to b as follows. Take a sequence of adapted step functions $\{x^n\}$ converging to x in the L^2 norm on $[t_0, t_1]$. Then, by Itô's identity

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (x_s^n - x_s^m) db_s \right\|^2 = \|b_1\|^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|x_s^n - x_s^m\|^2 ds \rightarrow 0$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, so the limit

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} x_s db_s := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} x_s^n db_s$$

exists. Moreover, if y^n is another sequence of adapted step functions with $y^n \rightarrow x$ in L^2 norm on $[t_0, t_1]$, then

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (x_s^n - y_s^n) db_s \right\|^2 = \|b_1\|^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|x_s^n - y_s^n\|^2 ds \rightarrow 0,$$

so the limit is independent of the chosen sequence of approximating functions. We call processes satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 5.4 Itô integrands.

The following general version of Itô's lemma follows immediately from the very definition of Itô integral.

Lemma 5.5. *Let x, y be two Itô integrands, then*

$$\left\langle \int_{t_0}^{t_1} x_s db_s, \int_{t_0}^{t_1} y_s db_s \right\rangle = \|b_1\|^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle x_s, y_s \rangle ds.$$

For later use we record other properties of the Itô integrals as a proposition below.

Proposition 5.6. *Let x and y be Itô integrands.*

- (i) $\left\langle \int_s^t x_s db_s, \Omega_t \right\rangle = 0 \quad \forall s \leq t.$
- (ii) $\int_{t_0}^{s+t} x_s db_s = \int_{t_0}^s x_s db_s \otimes \Omega_t + \int_s^{s+t} x_s db_s \quad \forall t_0 \leq s, t.$
- (iii) $\int_s^{s+t} U_{s,r}(x_s \otimes y_r) db_r = U_{s,t}(x_s \otimes \int_0^t y_s db_s) \quad \forall s, t.$
- (iv) $\left\langle \int_{s+t_0}^{s+t} x_s db_s, \int_{s_0}^s x_r db_r \otimes \Omega_t \right\rangle = 0 \quad \forall s_0 \leq s, t_0 \leq t.$
- (v) $\int_s^{s+t} \Omega_t db_s = b_{s+t} - (b_s \otimes \Omega_t) \quad \forall s, t.$

Proof. All statements follow immediately, first by verifying for the simple adapted processes, and then by taking limits. For (iii) we need to use the associativity axiom of the super product system in addition. \square

Remarks 5.7. (i) Notice that, if b is an addit then

$$\langle b_{s+t}, U_{s,t}(b_s \otimes \Omega_t) \rangle = \langle b_s \otimes \Omega_t + \Omega_s \otimes b_t, b_s \otimes \Omega_t \rangle = \|b_s\|^2,$$

so b satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.4 with $F(t) = t$.

(ii) If $x_t = \int_0^t y_s db_s$ for some adapted process y , then $t \mapsto \|x_t\|$ is continuous and

$$\langle x_{s+t}, U_{s,t}(x_s \otimes \Omega_t) \rangle = \|b_1\|^2 \int_0^s \|y_r\|^2 dr = \|x_s\|^2.$$

Moreover x_t is a limit of elements in H_t , so x is an adapted process. x satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.4 with $F(t) = t$, hence is an Itô integrand.

Proposition 5.8. *The non-commutative stochastic differential equation*

$$(6) \quad u_t = \Omega_t + \int_0^t u_s db_s$$

has a unique continuous solution. Moreover the solution is an exponential unit.

Proof. Existence is given by Picard iteration. Set

$$(7) \quad x_t^1 = b_t \quad \text{and} \quad x_t^{n+1} = \int_0^t x_s^n db_s,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geq 0$. Note that (7) well-defines x^{n+1} since each x^n satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.4. Then, thanks to Itô's identity

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n}^m x_t^k \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=n}^m \|b_1\|^{2k} \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

tends to 0 as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, so the series

$$u_t = \Omega_t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_t^n$$

converges. The measurability of the unit (in fact the continuity) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t - (u_s \otimes \Omega_{t-s})\|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_t^n - (x_s^n \otimes \Omega_{t-s})\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|b_1\|^{2n} (t-s)^n / n! \\ &= e^{\|b_1\|^2(t-s)} - 1 \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (ii) of Proposition 5.6 and Itô's identity.

For $m > 0$, the iterated integrals satisfy

$$\langle x_s^n, x_t^{n+m} \rangle = \|b_1\|^n \int_0^s \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_n} \langle \Omega_{r_{n+1}}, x_{r_{n+1}}^m \rangle dr_{n+1} dr_n \cdots dr_1$$

so we have the orthogonality relations $\langle x_s^n, x_t^{n+m} \rangle = 0$. It follows that u is an Itô integrand with $F = t$, and a solution to 6, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t u_s db_s &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^t \Omega_t db_s + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^t x_s^k db_s \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(b_t + \sum_{k=2}^n x_t^{k+1} \right) = u_t - \Omega_t. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that u and v are two continuous solutions of the QSDE. By iteration, we have

$$u_t - v_t = \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} (u_{t_n} - v_{t_n}) db_{t_n} db_{t_{n-1}} \cdots db_{t_1}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By continuity $M_t = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|u_s - v_s\| < \infty$ for each $t \geq 0$ and thus

$$\|u_t - v_t\|^2 \leq \|b_1\|^{2n} \frac{M_t^2 t^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thus $u = v$.

Now we verify that u_t is a unit for the super product system. Fix s, t and define

$$\begin{aligned} v_r &= u_r \text{ if } r \in (0, s) \\ &= U_{s,r}(u_s \otimes u_r) \text{ if } r \geq s. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Proposition 5.6, $\Omega_{s+t} + \int_0^{s+t} v_r db_r$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{s,t}(\Omega_s \otimes \Omega_t) + U_{s,t}\left(\int_0^s u_r db_r \otimes \Omega_t\right) + \int_s^{s+t} U_{s,r}(u_s \otimes u_r) db_r \\ &= U_{s,t}(u_s \otimes \Omega_t) + U_{s,t}\left(u_s \otimes \int_0^t u_r db_r\right) = U_{s,t}(u_s \otimes u_t) = v_{s+t}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that u satisfies the stochastic differential equation. By the uniqueness of the solution to the equation 6, we get $U_{s,t}(u_s \otimes u_t) = u_{s+t}$. Hence $\{u_t\}$ is a unit.

Finally, since $\int_0^t u_s^n db_s$ is orthogonal to Ω_t for each $t \geq 0$, we have $\langle u_t, \Omega_t \rangle = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $\{u_t\}$ is an exponential unit. \square

For a centred addit b define $\text{Exp}_\Omega(b)$ to be the solution to the SDE (6). Note that

$$\|\text{Exp}_\Omega(b)_t\|^2 = \left\langle \Omega_t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_t^n, \Omega_t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_t^n \right\rangle = e^{\|b_1\|^2 t}.$$

Moreover if c is another centred addit satisfying

$$\text{Exp}_\Omega(c) = u = \text{Exp}_\Omega(d)$$

then

$$0 = \left\| \int_0^t u_s d(b_s - c_s) \right\|^2 = \|b_1 - c_1\|^2 \int_0^t \|u_s\|^2 ds,$$

so $b_1 = c_1$ and hence $b = c$. Thus Exp_Ω defines an injective map $\mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_\Omega(H)$. In order to prove that Exp_Ω is indeed a bijection, we explicitly provide the inverse in the following proposition.

We require the following observation on continuity. Every product system has a representation under which the units are semigroups of bounded operators (see [4]) and since they are measurable the semigroups must be strongly continuous. It follows (for instance from the proof of Proposition 8.13) that the units of a product system are continuous in the following sense. Fix an arbitrary $T > 0$ and for a unit $\{u_t : t \geq 0\}$ define

$$\begin{aligned} u'_t &= U_{t,T-t}(u_t \otimes \Omega_{T-t}); \\ u'_{s,s+t} &= U_{s+t,T-(s+t)}(U_{s,t}(\Omega_s \otimes u_t) \otimes \Omega_{T-(s+t)}), \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq s, t \leq T$. Then the map $(s, t) \mapsto u'_{s,t}$ is continuous in s, t , for all $s, t \in [0, T]$. This also applies to super product systems, since the units of a super product system generate a product system.

Proposition 5.9. *For $t \geq 0$ and for a continuous exponential unit u , set*

$$y_t^{i,n} = U_t^{2^n} (\Omega_{\frac{t}{2^n}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_{\frac{t}{2^n}} - \Omega_{\frac{t}{2^n}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{\frac{t}{2^n}}),$$

with $(u_{\frac{t}{2^n}} - \Omega_{\frac{t}{2^n}})$ at the i -th tensor and $U_t^{2^n}$ is the canonical unitary operator $H_{\frac{t}{2^n}} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\frac{t}{2^n}} \mapsto H_t$ well-defined by the associativity of the super product system. Define

$$y_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_t^{i,n},$$

then $\text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_t^n$ exists and $\{\text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t : t \geq 0\}$ defines a centered addit.

Proof. Set $\|u_s\|^2 = e^{\lambda s}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\langle u_s - \Omega_s, \Omega_s \rangle = 0; \quad \|u_s - \Omega_s\|^2 = e^{\lambda s} - 1.$$

So we have $\|y_t^{i,n}\|^2 = e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^n}} - 1$ and $\langle y_t^{i,n}, y_t^{i',n} \rangle = 0$ if $i \neq i'$.

For arbitrarily fixed $m > n$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ let

$$J_i = \{2^{m-n}(i-1) + 1, 2^{m-n}(i-1) + 2, \dots, 2^{m-n}i\}.$$

Let $J(i, j)$ denote the j -th element of J_i , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_t^{i,n}, y_t^{J(i',j),m} \rangle &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq i'; \\ \langle y_t^{i,n}, y_t^{J(i,j),m} \rangle &= e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^m}} - 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2^n, j \in J_i). \end{aligned}$$

Now for $m > n$, after computations we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_t^n - y_t^m\|^2 &= 2^n(e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^n}} - 1) + 2^m(e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^m}} - 1) - 2 \times 2^n 2^{m-n}(e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^m}} - 1) \\ &= 2^n(e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^n}} - 1) - 2^m(e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^m}} - 1) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!(2^n)^{k-1}} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!(2^m)^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, hence y_t^n converges, and we write $\text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t$ for the limit.

Further

$$\begin{aligned} U_{t,t}(y_t^n \otimes \Omega_t + \Omega_t \otimes y_t^n) &= U_{t,t}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_t^{i,n} \otimes \Omega_t + \Omega_t \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_t^{i,n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n+1}} y_{2t}^{j,n+1} = y_{2t}^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the associativity axiom. This consequently implies that

$$(8) \quad U_{t,t}(b_t \otimes \Omega_t + \Omega_t \otimes b_t) = b_{2t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Let us fix an arbitrary $T \geq 0$, and to make notation easier, embed $\{\text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ into H_T . Denote

$$b'_t = U_{t,T-t}(\text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t \otimes \Omega_{T-t});$$

$$b'_{s,s+t} = U_{s+t,T-(s+t)}(U_{s,t}(\Omega_s \otimes \text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t) \otimes \Omega_{T-(s+t)}),$$

for $0 \leq s, t \leq T$. Clearly to prove $\text{Log}_\Omega(u)$ is an addit, we only need to verify that $b'_s + b'_{s,s+t} = b'_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$.

Using (8) and induction we have

$$b'_{s,s+nt} = b'_{s,s+t} + b'_{s+t,s+2t} + \cdots + b'_{s+(n-1)t,s+nt} \quad \forall s + nt \leq T.$$

Manipulations lead to

$$(9) \quad b'_{s,s+\frac{m}{n}t} = b'_{s,s+t} + b'_{s+t,s+\frac{n+1}{n}t} + \cdots + b'_{s+\frac{m-1}{n}t,s+\frac{m}{n}t}$$

for all $s + \frac{m}{n}t \leq T$, $n \leq m$. Now let $s = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$, $t = \frac{p_2}{q_2}$ be rationals. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{s+t} &= b'_{\left(\frac{p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1}{p_1 q_2}\right)s} \\ &= b'_s + b'_{s, \frac{p_1 q_2 + 1}{p_1 q_2}s} + \cdots + b'_{s, \frac{p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1 - 1}{p_1 q_2}s, \frac{p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1}{p_1 q_2}s} \\ &= b'_s + b'_{s, s + \frac{1}{p_1 q_2}s} + \cdots + b'_{s + \frac{p_2 q_1 - 1}{p_1 q_2}s, s + \frac{p_2 q_1}{p_1 q_2}s} \\ &= b'_s + b'_{s,t}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used relation 9 twice.

Notice that $b'_{s,t}$ is the limit of $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \left(u'_{\frac{i-1}{2^n}, \frac{i}{2^n}} - \Omega_T \right)$ and that the convergence is uniform in $[0, T]$. Now the continuity of $\{b'_{s,t}\}$ implies the relation $b'_{s+t} = b'_s + b'_{s,t}$ for all real s, t such that $s + t \leq T$. Since T is arbitrary we conclude that $\text{Log}_\Omega(u)$ is indeed an addit. Finally, since $\langle y_t^n, \Omega_t \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\langle \text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t, \Omega_t \rangle = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence $\text{Log}_\Omega(u)$ is a centered addit. \square

Theorem 5.10. *Log_Ω and Exp_Ω are mutually inverse maps between $\mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Exp}_\Omega : \mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_\Omega(H)$ is injective we need only show that $\text{Exp}_\Omega(\text{Log}_\Omega(u)) = u$ for all exponential units u . It suffices to show that

$$\|u_t\|^2 - 2\text{Re} \langle u_t, \text{Exp}_\Omega(\text{Log}_\Omega(u))_t \rangle + \|\text{Exp}_\Omega(\text{Log}_\Omega(u))_t\|^2 = 0.$$

Set $\|u_t\|^2 = e^{\lambda t}$, and let $y_t^{i,n}$ be as defined in proposition 5.9. Then

$$\|\text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \|y_t^{i,n}\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left(e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^n}} - 1 \right) = \lambda t,$$

and hence $\|\text{Exp}_\Omega(\text{Log}_\Omega(u))_t\|^2 = e^{\lambda t}$. Similarly

$$\langle u_t, \text{Log}_\Omega(u)_t \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \langle u_t, y_t^{i,n} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left(e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2^n}} - 1 \right) = \lambda t.$$

For any integrand x , $\langle u_t, \int_0^t x_s d\text{Log}_\Omega(u)_s \rangle$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle u_t, U_{\frac{kt}{n}, \frac{t}{n}, t - \frac{(k+1)t}{n}} \left(x_{\frac{kt}{n}} \otimes \text{Log}_\Omega(u)_{\frac{t}{n}} \otimes \Omega_{t - \frac{(k+1)t}{n}} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle u_{kt/n}, x_{kt/n} \rangle \langle u_{t/n}, \text{Log}_\Omega(u)_{t/n} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle u_{kt/n}, x_{kt/n} \rangle \lambda t/n = \lambda \int_0^t \langle u_s, x_s \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

Thus in the notation of Proposition 5.8,

$$\langle u_t, \text{Exp}_\Omega(\text{Log}_\Omega(u))_t \rangle = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle u_t, x_t^k \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n t^n / n! = e^{\lambda t}$$

as required. \square

Remark 5.11. *Units in a super product system gives rise to the covariance function, and we can associate an index, an isomorphic invariant, in precisely the same way as for product systems. $\mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H)$ forms a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $\langle b, b' \rangle = \langle b_1, b'_1 \rangle$. The dimension of $\mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H)$ is equal to the index of the super product system, since we have*

$$\langle \text{Exp}_\Omega(b)_t, \text{Exp}_\Omega(b')_t \rangle = e^{\langle b_1, b'_1 \rangle t} \quad \forall b, b' \in \mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H).$$

Remark 5.12. *In particular the index of a (spatial) product system is equal to the dimension of the centered addits with respect to any fixed unit. In some examples of E_0 -semigroups on type I factors it is difficult to describe the units, but it may be easier to compute the addits.*

This is the case for the CAR flow on $B(\Gamma_a(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}))$ of rank $\dim(\mathbb{k})$. The CAR flow of rank $\dim(\mathbb{k})$ is the E_0 -semigroup satisfying

$$\theta_t(a(f)) = a(T_t f) \quad \forall f \in L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}),$$

where T is the unilateral shift (see Example 2.6). It is well-known that CAR flow of rank $\dim(\mathbb{k})$ is conjugate to the CCR flow of the same rank, hence completely spatial with index $\dim(\mathbb{k})$. Still, the units for the CAR flows are not known except the vacuum unit.

We can compute the addits for CAR flow easily. Setting $H = \Gamma_a(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}))$, $H_t = \Gamma_a(L^2((0, t), \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}))$. Define unitary operators $U_t : H_t \otimes H \mapsto H$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & U_t(\xi_1^t \wedge \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge \xi_n^t) \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \cdots \wedge \xi_m) \\ &= T_t \xi_1 \wedge T_t \xi_2 \cdots \wedge T_t \xi_m \wedge \xi_1^t \wedge \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge \xi_n^t. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\theta_t(X) = U_t(1_{H_t} \otimes X)U_t^*$. Hence the space of intertwiners for γ_t is given by $\{T_{\xi_t} : \xi_t \in H_t\}$ with

$$(10) \quad T_{\xi_t}(\xi) = U_t(\xi_t \otimes \xi), \quad \forall \xi \in H.$$

The product system of γ_t is isomorphic to $(H_t, U_{s,t})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{s,t}(\xi_1^s \wedge \xi_2^s \cdots \wedge \xi_n^s) \otimes (\xi_1^t \wedge \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge \xi_m^t) \\ &= T_s \xi_1^t \wedge T_s \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge T_s \xi_m^t \wedge \xi_1^s \wedge \xi_2^s \cdots \wedge \xi_n^s. \end{aligned}$$

The space of centered addits $\mathfrak{A}_\Omega(H)$ is given by $\{x1_{0,t} : x \in \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}\}$ (see Corollary 7.4). This proves that the index of γ_t is $\dim(\mathbb{k})$.

6. THE NONCOMMUTATIVE WHITE NOISE

In this section we show that every E_0 -semigroup $\{\alpha_t; t \geq 0\}$ on a II_1 factor M has an associated noncommutative white noise. We define unital and additive cocycles for the noise and collect some facts which will be useful in the sequel.

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq B$ be $*$ -algebras and suppose φ is a positive linear functional on B . \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are said to be φ -independent if

$$\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{A}_1, y \in \mathcal{A}_2.$$

Proposition 6.2. Let U be a gauge cocycle for α . Then U_t is independent of $\alpha_t(M)$ in the sense that

$$\tau(U_t \alpha_t(x)) = \tau(U_t) \tau(\alpha_t(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in M, t \geq 0.$$

Proof. We simply compute

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(U_t \alpha_t(x)) &= \langle \Omega, U_t \alpha_t(x) \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, U_t S_t x \Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega, S_t^* U_t S_t x \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, e^{tc_*(1,U)} x \Omega \rangle \\ &= e^{tc_*(1,U)} \tau(x) = \tau(U_t) \tau(\alpha_t(x))\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in M$. \square

This property will be called the future independence property of gauge cocycles. Let \mathcal{A}_t be the $*$ -algebra generated by elements

$$\{U_s : U \in G(\alpha), 0 \leq s \leq t\}.$$

A simple induction argument shows that \mathcal{A}_t is the linear span of elements of the form

$$U_{s_1}^1 \alpha_{s_1}(U_{s_2}^2 \alpha_{s_2}(\cdots \alpha_{s_{n-1}}(U_{s_n}^n) \cdots))$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $U^1, \dots, U^n \in G(\alpha)$, $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $s_1 + \dots + s_n \leq t$. Let $A_{0,t}$ be the ultraweak closure of \mathcal{A}_t and set $A_{s,s+t} := \alpha_s(A_{0,t})$.

Proposition 6.3. *$(A_{s,t})_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ is a covariant filtration of von Neumann algebras for α . Moreover, when $t_1 \leq s_2$ the algebras A_{s_1,t_1} and A_{s_2,t_2} are independent.*

Proof. The covariance easily follows as

$$\alpha_r(A_{s,t}) = \alpha_r \circ \alpha_s(A_{0,t-s}) = A_{r+s,r+t}.$$

We need to show that if $[s_1, t_1] \subseteq [s_2, t_2]$ then $A_{s_1,t_1} \subseteq A_{s_2,t_2}$, i.e. that $\alpha_{s_1-s_2} A_{0,t_1-s_1} \subseteq A_{0,t_2-s_2}$. For this we note that when $0 \leq p \leq t_1 - s_1$ we have $\alpha_{s_1-s_2}(U_p) = U_{s_1-s_2}^* U_{p+s_1-s_2}$ and this is in A_{0,t_2-s_2} as $0 \leq s_1 - s_2 \leq t_2 - s_2$ and $0 \leq p + s_1 - s_2 \leq t_1 - s_2 \leq t_2 - s_2$. Since these elements generate $\alpha_{s_1-s_2}(A_{0,t_1-s_1})$ the inclusion follows.

Now let $t_1 \leq s_2$. By ultraweak continuity of τ , the final part of the theorem follows if $\alpha_{s_1}(\mathcal{A}_{t_1-s_1})$ and A_{s_2,t_2} are independent. Hence it suffices to show that if $x \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $U^1, \dots, U^n \in G(\alpha)$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $u_1 + \dots + u_n \leq t_1 - s_1$, the elements

$$\alpha_{s_1}(U_{u_1}^1 \alpha_{u_1}(U_{u_2}^2 \alpha_{u_2}(\cdots \alpha_{u_{n-1}}(U_{u_n}^n) \cdots))) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{s_2}(x)$$

are independent. Since $u_1 \leq t_1 - s_1 \leq s_2 - s_1$ the future independence property of gauge cocycles gives us

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau(\alpha_{s_1}(U_{u_1}^1 \alpha_{u_1}(U_{u_2}^2 \alpha_{u_2}(\cdots \alpha_{u_{n-1}}(U_{u_n}^n) \cdots))) \alpha_{s_2}(x)) \\ &= \tau(U_{u_1}^1 \alpha_{u_1}(U_{u_2}^2 \alpha_{u_2}(\cdots \alpha_{u_{n-1}}(U_{u_n}^n) \cdots))) \alpha_{s_2-s_1}(x)) \\ &= \tau(U_{u_1}^1) \tau(\alpha_{u_1}(U_{u_2}^2 \alpha_{u_2}(\cdots \alpha_{u_{n-1}}(U_{u_n}^n) \cdots))) \alpha_{s_2-s_1}(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as $u_k \leq s_2 - s_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} u_j$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, we can continue inductively to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\alpha_{s_1}(U_{u_1}^1 \alpha_{u_1}(U_{u_2}^2 \alpha_{u_2}(\cdots \alpha_{u_{n-1}}(U_{u_n}^n) \cdots))) \alpha_{s_2}(x)) \\ &= \tau(U_{u_1}^1) \tau(U_{u_2}^2) \cdots \tau(U_{u_n}^n) \tau(\alpha_{s_2-s_1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we may use the future independence property and invariance of τ under α to note that $\tau(U_{u_1}^1) \tau(U_{u_2}^2) \cdots \tau(U_{u_n}^n)$

$$= \tau(\alpha_{s_1}(U_{u_1}^1 \alpha_{u_1}(U_{u_2}^2 \alpha_{u_2}(\cdots \alpha_{u_{n-1}}(U_{u_n}^n) \cdots)))$$

and $\tau(\alpha_{s_2-s_1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j}(x)) = \tau(\alpha_{s_2}(x))$. \square

Remark 6.4. *In practice, when we define an E_0 -semigroup, other, quite natural filtrations present themselves. By design, the collection of cocycles adapted to $(A_{s,t})_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ is the gauge group $G(\alpha)$. On the other hand it is not clear if the collection of cocycles adapted to another filtration carries any meaningful information about the cocycle conjugacy class of α .*

Definition 6.5. *Set $A = \bigvee_{0 \leq t} A_{0,t}$, $\varphi = \tau|_A$ and $\sigma = \alpha|_A$, then we call the quintuple $(A, \varphi, \sigma, (\bar{A}_{s,t})_{0 \leq s \leq t})$ the noncommutative white noise associated to the E_0 -semigroup α .*

Define G_t^α to be the subspace of H generated by $A_{0,t}$. We denote the projection onto G_t^α by P_t . Finally, let $H^t := S_t H$, the subspace generated by $\alpha_t(M)$.

Remark 6.6. *Similar forms of noncommutative white noise have been well studied - see the review article [16], or section 6.5 of [12]. The key difference being the use of a group of automorphisms. This gives good continuity properties for the family of conditional expectations $\mathbb{E}_{s,t} : A \rightarrow A_{s,t}$ (see Lemma 3.1.5 in [12]), allowing the development of noncommutative Itô integrals.*

Lemma 6.7. *The multiplication $A_{0,t} \times H^t \rightarrow H$, $(x, \xi) \mapsto x\xi$ extends to a continuous bilinear map*

$$G_t^\alpha \times H^t \rightarrow H, \quad (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \xi\eta.$$

Moreover for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in G_t^\alpha$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in H^t$ we have

$$\langle \xi_1 \eta_1, \xi_2 \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle.$$

Proof. We first show that, for any $\eta \in H^t$ and $x \in A_{0,t}$, we have

$$\|x\eta\|_H^2 = \tau(x^*x) \|\eta\|_H^2.$$

indeed, pick $y_i \in \alpha_t(M)$ with $y_i \rightarrow \eta$. Then as x is an operator on H , we have $\|x\eta - xy_i\|_H \leq \|x\| \|\eta - y_i\|_H \rightarrow 0$, so $xy_i \rightarrow x\eta$. Hence

$$\|x\eta\|_H^2 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x^* y_i^* y_i x) = \tau(x^* x) \|\eta\|_H^2$$

by independence.

Now pick an arbitrary $\xi \in G_t^\alpha$ and $\eta \in H^t$. Since G_t^α is the norm closure of $A_{0,t}$ in H there is a sequence $x_i \in A_{0,t}$ tending to ξ . The sequence $x_i\eta$ is Cauchy, since

$$\|(x_i - x_j)\eta\|_H^2 = \tau((x_i - x_j)^*(x_i - x_j)) \|\eta\|_H^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

We call the limit $\xi\eta$. By continuity of addition and scalar multiplication the map $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \xi\eta$ is bilinear and by definition it extends the usual multiplication on $A_{0,t} \times H^t$. The norm of the element $\xi\eta$ is given by

$$\|\xi\eta\|_H^2 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i\eta\|_H^2 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x_i^* x_i) \|\eta\|_H^2 = \|\xi\|_H^2 \|\eta\|_H^2,$$

hence the multiplication is continuous.

If $x_1, x_2 \in A_{0,t}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \alpha_t(M)$ then we have

$$\langle x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2 \rangle_H = \tau(y_1^* x_1^* x_2 y_2) = \tau(x_1^* x_2) \tau(y_1^* y_2) = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_H \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_H.$$

The final claim of the lemma now follows from standard limiting arguments. \square

As a consequence to the above lemma we have, for $\xi \in G_t^\alpha$ and $\eta \in H^t$, $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \Omega \rangle \langle \Omega, \eta \rangle$. The following corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 6.8. *$(G_t^\alpha, U_{s,t})$ forms a completely spatial product system with*

$$U_{s,t}(\xi_s \otimes \xi_t) = \xi_s S_s \xi_t, \quad \forall \xi_s \in G_s^\alpha, \xi_t \in G_t^\alpha,$$

and $\Omega_t = \Omega$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The units in the product system $(G_t^\alpha, U_{s,t})$ are multiplicative cocycles, that is $\{u_t : u_t \in G_t^\alpha\} \subseteq H$ satisfying $u_s S_s u_t = u_{s+t}$. Similarly adds are additive cocycles $\{b_t : b_t \in G_t^\alpha\} \subseteq H$ satisfying $b_s + S_s b_t = b_{s+t}$. We denote the unital cocycles and the exponential cocycles by $\mathfrak{U}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{U}_\Omega(\alpha)$ respectively. Also we denote by $\mathfrak{A}(\alpha)$ the space of all additive cocycles and denote by $\mathfrak{A}_0(\alpha)$ the subset of $\mathfrak{A}(\alpha)$ satisfying the structure equation

$$(11) \quad \langle b_t - P_0 b_t, b_t - P_0 b_t \rangle + P_0 b_t + P_0 J b_t = 0.$$

where P_0 is the projection onto $\mathbb{C}\Omega_t$. Let $c_t = b_t + \lambda t \Omega$, where b is a centred additive cocycle. Using Lemma 4.8, the structure equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$(12) \quad \|b_1\|^2 + \lambda + \bar{\lambda} = 0.$$

Let $c_t = b_t + \lambda t\Omega$, where $b \in \mathfrak{A}_\Omega$. Then we define $\text{Exp}(c)_t := e^{\lambda t} \text{Exp}_\Omega(b)_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Since

$$\|\text{Exp}(c)_t\|^2 = e^{(\|b_1\|^2 + \lambda + \bar{\lambda})t}$$

we see that $\text{Exp}(c)$ is a unital cocycle precisely when c satisfies the structure equation (12). Conversely, given a unital cocycle u there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\langle \Omega, u_t \rangle = e^{\lambda t}$. Since $v_t = e^{-\lambda t} u_t$ is an exponential cocycle the assignment $\text{Log}(u)_t = \text{Log}_\Omega(v)_t + \lambda t\Omega$ gives an inverse for $\text{Exp} : \mathfrak{A}_0(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(\alpha)$.

Corollary 6.9. *There exists an isometry D from $H(G(\alpha))$ into the subspace*

$$\overline{\text{Span}\{b_1 : b \in \mathfrak{A}_0 \text{ centred}\}}.$$

Proof. If U and V are gauge cocycles, and u, v their corresponding unital cocycles there exist centred adds b and c and constants $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Log}(u)_t = b_t + \lambda t\Omega$ and $\text{Log}(v)_t = c_t + \mu t\Omega$. Moreover

$$\langle u_t, v_t \rangle = e^{(\bar{\lambda} + \mu + \langle b_1, c_1 \rangle)t},$$

i.e. $c_*(U, V) = \bar{\lambda} + \mu + \langle b_1, c_1 \rangle$. The result now follows from [4], Proposition 2.6.9. \square

Corollary 6.10. *The gauge index $\dim G(\alpha)$ is zero if and only if the gauge group is trivial, i.e. $G(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Recall that $H(G(\alpha))$ is the completion of a quotient space of functions and denote the equivalence class of a function f by $[f]$. The proof of Corollary 6.9 shows that

$$D\left(\sum_{U \in G(\alpha)} a_U [\delta_U]\right) = \sum_{U \in G(\alpha)} a_U (1 - P_0) \text{Log}(U\Omega)_1,$$

whenever finitely many of the $a_U \in \mathbb{C}$ are nonzero and we have that $\sum_{U \in G(\alpha)} a_U = 0$.

If the gauge group is trivial every gauge cocycle is of the form $U_t = e^{i\theta t} 1$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\text{Log}(U\Omega)_t \in P_0 H$, so $D(\sum_{G(\alpha)} a_U [\delta_U]) = 0$, hence $H(G(\alpha)) \cong \{0\}$. Conversely, let $\dim G(\alpha) = 0$ and pick $U \in G(\alpha)$. We must have $D([\delta_U - \delta_1]) = (1 - P_0) \text{Log}(U\Omega)_1 = 0$, so there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Log}(U\Omega)_t = \lambda t\Omega$. Writing $U_t = e^{\lambda t} 1$ we see that $\lambda = i\theta$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Remark 6.11. *There is a natural action of $G(\alpha)$ as automorphisms of the product system $(G_t^\alpha, U_{s,t})$ via $(U \cdot \xi)_t = U_t \xi_t$ for any measurable section ξ . It follows that the gauge group of an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor is always a subgroup of one of the groups G_H in [4], Section 3.8.*

7. COMPUTATION OF GAUGE INDEX

Let α be an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor $M \subseteq B(L^2(M)) = H$ and $S = \{S_t : t \geq 0\}$ be the canonical unit for α .

Definition 7.1. *An additive cocycle for S is a continuous map $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow H$ satisfying $b_s + S_s b_t = b_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$, for any $\xi \in H$.*

Remark 7.2. *If we define a centred additive cocycle for the unit T as a continuous family $(b_t) \in H$ satisfying $b_s + T_s b_t = b_{s+t}$ and $\langle b_t, T_t \xi \rangle = 0$ for all $s, t \geq 0$, $\xi \in H$ then, as in the proof of Lemma 4.8, centred additive cocycles satisfy $\langle b_s, c_s \rangle = s \langle b_1, c_1 \rangle$ for all $s \geq 0$. Hence additive cocycles form a Hilbert space via the inner product $\langle b, c \rangle := \langle b_1, c_1 \rangle_H$. It is easily seen that if α and β are conjugate E_0 -semigroups then the spaces of centred additive cocycles for their respective canonical units are isomorphic. But they need not be invariant under cocycle conjugacy.*

Lemma 7.3. *Let γ^n be the free flow of index n on the II_1 factor $L(F_\infty) \subseteq B(\Gamma_f((L^2(0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C})))$. Every additive cocycle $\{b_t : t \geq 0\}$ for S , satisfying an additional condition $b_t \in \Gamma_f(L^2((0, t), \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}))$ is of the form $b_t = \lambda t + v 1_{[0, t]}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $v \in \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Note that

$$S_t(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = T_t f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_t f_n.$$

As S leaves each of the spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ invariant each addit decomposes as $b = \sum_{n \geq 0} b^n$, where b^n is an addit in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$. Since $b_{s+t}^0 = b_s^0 + S_s b_t^0 = b_s^0 + b_t^0$, we have $b_t^0 = \lambda t$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Pick an orthonormal basis $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ for \mathbb{k} . Since $b_s^1 \in L^2([0, s]; \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C})$ and since $r \mapsto 1_{[0, r]} \otimes e_i$ is a centred addit we have for all $0 \leq r \leq s$ and $i \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \langle e_i, b_s^1(t) \rangle dt &= \langle 1_{[0, r]} \otimes e_i, b_s^1 \rangle = \langle 1_{[0, r]} \otimes e_i, b_r^1 + S_r b_{s-r}^1 \rangle \\ &= \langle 1_{[0, r]} \otimes e_i, b_r^1 \rangle = \mu_i r \end{aligned}$$

for some $\mu_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $b_s^1 = 1_{[0, s]} \otimes v$, where $v = \sum \mu_i e_i \in \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}$.

Fix $n \geq 2$ and set $F_s := b_s^n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n} \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n; (\mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n})$ for each $s \geq 0$. Then by induction we have, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_s = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k-1} S_{2^{-k}is} F_{2^{-k}s}.$$

Thus, modulo a null set,

$$\text{supp} F_s \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^k-1} [2^{-k}is, 2^{-k}(i+1)s]^{\times n}$$

and since this holds for every $k \geq 0$ we get

$$\text{supp} F_s \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n\},$$

which has measure zero. \square

For Clifford flow on the hyperfinite II_1 factor \mathcal{R} the canonical unit is the second quantized shift on the antisymmetric Fock space $\Gamma_a(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}))$. But this is the restriction of the second quantized shift on free Fock space to subspace generated by the antisymmetric tensors. So the following corollary to lemma 7.3 follows immediately.

Corollary 7.4. *Let α^n be the Clifford flow of index n on the hyperfinite II_1 factor $\mathcal{R} \subseteq B(\Gamma_a(L^2(0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))$. Every additive cocycle $\{b_t : t \geq 0\}$ for the canonical unit S , satisfying $b_t \in \Gamma_a(L^2((0, t), \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))$, is of the form $b_t = \lambda t + v1_{[0,t]}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $v \in \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Lemma 7.5. *Let N be a von Neumann subalgebra of a II_1 factor M and Ω a cyclic and separating trace vector for M . If $\xi = x\Omega$ for some $x \in M$, $x \notin N$ then $\xi \notin \overline{N\Omega}$.*

Proof. Suppose we have a sequence of vectors $x_n\Omega$ with $x_n \in N$ for all n and $x_n\Omega \rightarrow \xi$. Then, using the cyclic property of the trace, $x_n^*\Omega \rightarrow x^*\Omega$ and, for all $y \in M'$, $z \in N'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y\Omega, zx\Omega \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle y\Omega, zx_n\Omega \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle yx_n^*, z\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle yx^*\Omega, z\Omega \rangle = \langle y\Omega, xz\Omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using density of $M'\Omega$ and faithfulness of the trace this implies $x \in N$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 7.6. *If α^n is the Clifford flow of rank n then the gauge index $\dim G(\alpha^n) = 0$.*

Proof. By [1], Proposition 2.9, the relative commutant $\mathcal{R}_t = \alpha_t(\mathcal{R})' \cap \mathcal{R}$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by even polynomials in the elements $\{u(f) : \text{supp} f \subset [0, t]\}$. Note that $\mathcal{R}_t\Omega = G_t^\alpha \subseteq \Gamma_a(L^2((0, t), \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))$, and that any addit for $\{G_t^\alpha : t \geq 0\}$ is an additive cocycle for $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$. Now it follows from corollary 7.4 and lemma 7.5 that the only centred addit is thus the zero addit. Thanks to 6.9 we have

$$\dim G(\alpha) \leq \dim \overline{\text{Span}} \{b_1 : b \in \mathfrak{A}_0 \text{ centred}\} = 0.$$

\square

Thus it follows from Corollary 6.10 that for Clifford flows on \mathcal{R} we have $G(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 7.7. *If β^n is the even Clifford flow of rank n then the gauge index $\dim G(\beta^n) = 0$.*

Proof. The GNS Hilbert space for even Clifford flows of rank n is the subspace of the antisymmetric Fock space generated by the even particle spaces, that is

$$H^e := [\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{2m}; \xi_1, \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{2m} \in L^2(0, \infty), k^{\mathbb{C}}], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where k is a Hilbert space with dimension n . Again the canonical unit for this even Clifford flow is the restriction of the second quantized shift to this space. Also the relative commutant $\mathcal{R}_t = \alpha_t(\mathcal{R})' \cap \mathcal{R}$ is again the von Neumann algebra generated by even polynomials in the elements $\{u(f) : \text{supp } f \subset [0, t]\}$, as in the case of Clifford flows, and $G_t^\alpha \subseteq H_t^e$, where

$$H_t^e := [\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{2m}; \xi_1, \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{2m} \in L^2(0, t), k^{\mathbb{C}}], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

By our earlier analysis the canonical unit does not admit any non-trivial additive cocycle (apart from $\{\lambda t \Omega\}$) in this space. \square

The following lemma (and its proof) was pointed out to the first named author by Jesse Peterson on the discussion site mathoverflow.net.

Lemma 7.8. *Let M_1 and M_2 be II_1 factors acting standardly with traces τ_1 and τ_2 and set $M = M_1 * M_2$, $\tau = \tau_1 * \tau_2$. Then the relative commutant $M_1' \cap M$ in $L^2(M, \tau)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Recall that $L^2(M, \tau)$ decomposes as

$$(13) \quad \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots i_n} L_0^2(M_{i_1}, \tau_{i_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_0^2(M_{i_n}, \tau_{i_n})$$

where $L_0^2(M_{i_j}, \tau_{i_j})$ is the orthogonal complement of the identity in the respective L^2 space (see [26]). $L^2(M, \tau)$ is naturally an M_1 -bimodule and under this decomposition the left action of M_1 is given by

$$x \cdot \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n = \begin{cases} x \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n & \text{if } \xi_1 \in L^2(M_1, \tau_1), \\ x \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n & \text{if } \xi_1 \in L_0^2(M_2, \tau_2), \end{cases}$$

with the right action being defined similarly.

Picking any vector $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n$ beginning and ending with nontrivial elements of $L_0^2(M_2, \tau_2)$ we see that it generates an M_1 -sub-bimodule $L^2(M_1, \tau_1) \otimes \xi \otimes L^2(M_1, \tau_1)$. From the decomposition (13) it follows easily that, as an M_1 -bimodule, $L^2(M, \tau)$ decomposes

into a direct sum of the standard bimodule $L^2(M_1, \tau_1)$ and a countable number of these. Moreover each $L^2(M_1, \tau_1) \otimes \xi \otimes L^2(M_1, \tau_1)$ is canonically isomorphic to the bimodule $L^2(M_1, \tau_1) \otimes L^2(M_1, \tau_1)$ with action $x \cdot (a \otimes b) \cdot y = xa \otimes by$ (the coarse bimodule).

Any element of $M'_1 \cap (M_1 * M_2)$ corresponds to a vector $\xi \in L^2(M, \tau)$ satisfying $x \cdot \xi = \xi \cdot x$. Since M_1 is a factor we are left to characterise the central vectors in the coarse bimodules. Endowing the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators $HS(L^2(M_1, \tau_1))$ with the standard M_1 -bimodule structure coming from left and right multiplication one gets an isomorphism $L^2(M_1, \tau_1) \otimes L^2(M_1, \tau_1) \cong HS(L^2(M_1, \tau_1))$. Thus a central vector in the coarse bimodule corresponds to a Hilbert-Schmidt operator in $B(L^2(M_1, \tau_1))$ which lies in the commutant M'_1 of M_1 in $L^2(M_1, \tau_1)$. For any such operator, upon taking a spectral projection, we get a finite dimensional projection living in M'_1 . But this is a II_1 factor, hence the coarse bimodule contains no central vectors. \square

The following Corollary to the above lemma also follows from Proposition 8.23 in Section 8.

Proposition 7.9. *Let γ^n be the free flow on $\Phi(k)$ of rank n . Then we have $\dim G(\gamma^n) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\Phi_t(k) = \{s(f_t) : f_t \in L^2(0, t)\}''$ and $\Phi_{[t]}(k) = \{s(f_{[t]}) : f_{[t]} \in L^2(t, \infty)\}''$, then $\Phi(k) = \Phi_t(k) * \Phi_{[t]}(k)$. It follows that $(\alpha_t(\Phi(k)))' \cap \Phi(k) = \mathbb{C}$. \square

Triviality of the gauge group implies the following rigidity condition for the semigroup. A history (γ, M) on $B(H)$ consists of a group of automorphisms on $B(H)$ and an invariant von Neumann subalgebra $M \subset B(H)$ (see [3] or [4]). Any history induces a pair of E_0 -semigroups via $\alpha_t := \gamma_t|_M$ and $\beta_t := \gamma_{-t}|_{M'}$ ($t \geq 0$). Conversely, given a pair of E_0 -semigroups α, β on M and M' respectively the question of whether they extend to a history on $B(H)$ is still unsolved. Arveson showed that when they do exist, the number of distinct histories inducing the pair is exactly parametrised by the gauge group of α ([4], Proposition 2.8.4). Hence if α is a Clifford, even Clifford, or free flow on M and β is any E_0 -semigroup on M' , then a history extending α and β must be unique. Physically, it is completely determined by its “past” and “future” dynamics. Whether this rigidity problem always holds, or if there exist examples of E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors with nontrivial gauge groups, is an interesting open question.

8. COMPUTATION OF COUPLING INDEX

Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}$ be an E_0 -semigroup on a type II_1 factor M with trace τ , acting standardly on $L^2(M)$, and Ω be the cyclic and separating vector. For every $t > 0$, denote

$$E_t^\alpha = \{T \in B(L^2(M)) : \alpha_t(m)T = Tm \ \forall m \in M\},$$

the set of all intertwining operators for α_t . It is proved in [1] that the family $\{E_t^\alpha : t \in (0, \infty)\}$ forms a product system of Hilbert modules over M' . Since our tools are not product system of Hilbert modules in this paper, we do not recall this theory and results from [1] in full detail. We reproduce the following theorem from [1], and make a few definitions and remarks about product systems of Hilbert modules. All our modules are von Neumann modules, which may be defined as weakly closed (equivalently, strongly closed) subspaces $E \subseteq B(H)$ satisfying $EE^*E \subseteq E$. E^*E is the von Neumann algebra acting on the right and EE^* is the collection of adjointable operators. The inner product is $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ (see [24], Part I, Chapter 3 or [9] for details).

Theorem 8.1. *E_t^α is full, self-dual $M' - M'$ Hilbert bimodule. Its natural w^* -topology coincides with the relative σ -weak topology.*

We can also define

$$E_t^{\alpha'} = \{T \in B(L^2(M)) : \alpha'_t(m')T = Tm' \ \forall m' \in M'\},$$

the complementary product system or the product system corresponding to the complementary E_0 -semigroup α' . $E_t^{\alpha'}$ satisfies all the properties of E_t^α with α replaced with α' . In fact

$$E_t^{\alpha'} = JE_t^\alpha J \ \forall t > 0.$$

Self-dual bimodules are von Neumann modules. Again we do not require the theory of von Neumann bimodules in full detail here. We only use the following lemma on von Neumann modules in this section. The reader can refer either to [24] or to [9] (Proposition 1.7) for a proof. In this lemma E_1 , E can be considered as only right von Neumann modules.

Lemma 8.2. *If E_1 is a (strongly closed) M -submodule of a Hilbert von Neumann M -module E and $E_1 \neq E$. Then there exists a non-zero $y \in E$ such that $y^*x = 0$ for all $x \in E_1$.*

The bimodules E_t^α and $E_t^{\alpha'}$ for Clifford flows may be described as follows. This description is used in our computation of $Ind_c(\cdot)$. Let $H_t = \Gamma_a(L^2((0, t), \mathbb{k}^\mathbb{C}))$. Since the Clifford flow $\{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ is the

restriction of the CAR flow on $B(\Gamma_a(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbf{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))) = B(H)$ to the hyperfinite II_1 factor \mathcal{R} , it satisfies

$$\alpha_t(m) = U_t(1_{H_t} \otimes m) U_t^* \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Hence we have $\{T_{\xi_t} : \xi_t \in H_t\} \subseteq E_t^\alpha$. (Here U_t and T_{ξ_t} are as defined in Remark 5.12.)

Suppose $X_t \in E_t^\alpha$ and $X_t^* T_{\xi_t} = 0$ for all $\xi_t \in H_t$, then

$$X_t^* T_{\xi_t} \xi = X_t^*(U_t(\xi_t \otimes \xi)) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in H, \quad \xi_t \in H_t.$$

This implies that $X_t = 0$, thanks to the totality of the set $\{U_t(\xi_t \otimes \xi) : \xi \in H, \xi_t \in H_t\}$ in H . So by Lemma 8.2 we conclude that E_t^α is the Von Neumann (right) M' -Module generated by $\{T_{\xi_t} : \xi_t \in H_t\}$. This is the weak operator closure of right M -linear combinations of $\{T_{\xi_t} : \xi_t \in H_t\}$.

We can also define unitary operator $U'_t : H_t \otimes H \mapsto H$ by

$$\begin{aligned} U'_t(\xi_1^t \wedge \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge \xi_n^t \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \cdots \wedge \xi_m)) \\ = \xi_1^t \wedge \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge \xi_n^t \wedge T_t \xi_1 \wedge T_t \xi_2 \cdots \wedge T_t \xi_m. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$\alpha'_t(m') = U'_t(1_{H_t} \otimes m') U'^{*}_t,$$

and it follows that $\{T'_{\xi_t} : \xi_t \in H_t\} \subseteq E_t^{\alpha'}$, where

$$(14) \quad T'_{\xi_t}(\xi) = U'_t(\xi_t \otimes \xi), \quad \forall \xi \in H.$$

Using an argument similar to E_t^α , thanks to Lemma 8.2, we find $E_t^{\alpha'}$ is the (right) Von Neumann M -Module generated by $\{T'_{\xi_t} : \xi_t \in H_t\}$.

This can be generalized to any E_0 -semigroup on a type II_1 factor M , which is obtained by restricting an E_0 -semigroup on $B(L^2(M))$. In particular the product system of Hilbert modules associated with free flows on $\Phi(\mathbf{k})$ are described by the product system of Hilbert spaces of the free flow on $B(\Gamma_f(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k}^{\mathbb{C}})))$.

As before $\{S_t \in E_t^\alpha : t \geq 0\}$ denotes the canonical unit for $\{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$. We prove the following useful lemma, which will be used in many instances, including our computations of the coupling index for Clifford flows, even Clifford flows and free flows.

Lemma 8.3. $E_t^\alpha = [M' S_t] = \alpha_t(M)' S_t$, where $[M' S_t]$ denotes the weak operator closure of $M' S_t$.

Proof. Theorem 8.1 assures us that E_t^α is a $M' - M'$ Hilbert von Neumann bimodule. Since $S_t \in E_t^\alpha$ it follows that $[M' S_t] \subseteq E_t^\alpha$. We first verify that $F_t = [M' S_t]$ is a M' -Hilbert von Neumann submodule of E_t^α . Notice that $F_t^* F_t = [S_t^* M' S_t]$. We only need to verify $F_t^* F_t = M'$ (see [9] for details).

For any $m' \in M'$ we have

$$S_t^* m' S_t m = S_t^* m' \alpha_t(m) S_t = m S_t^* m' S_t,$$

and hence $S_t^* M' S_t \subseteq M'$. On the other hand

$$m' = S_t^* S_t m' = S_t^* \alpha'_t(m') S_t,$$

where $\{\alpha'_t\}$ is the complementary E_0 -semigroup on M' corresponding to $\{\alpha_t\}$. So it also follows that

$$M' \subset S_t^* \alpha'_t(M') S_t \subset S_t^* M' S_t.$$

We have verified that $M' = F_t^* F_t$ and that F_t is a von Neumann submodule of E_t^α .

Now suppose there exists a $T \in E_t^\alpha$ and $T \perp M' S_t$ with respect to the M' valued inner product of E_t^α , i.e., $T^* m' S_t = 0$ for all $m' \in M'$. Then $T^* m' S_t \Omega = T^* m' \Omega = 0$. Since T^* vanishes on a total set, we conclude that $T = 0$. Now we deduce from Lemma 8.2 that $E_t^\alpha = [M' S_t]$.

To prove the the second equality, we refer to [1](see Proposition 3.4), where it is proved that the space of all adjointable operators $B(E_t^\alpha)$ on the Hilbert von Neumann module E_t^α is equal to $\alpha_t(M)'$. This in particular implies that $E_t^\alpha = \alpha_t(M)' S_t$. \square

It is proved in [1] that $\{E_t^\alpha : t \geq 0\}$ forms a product system of $M' - M'$ modules with respect to the tensor product defined by operator multiplication, and that it is a complete invariant for the E_0 -semigroup α . That is two E_0 -semigroups α and β are cocycle conjugate if and only if the corresponding product system of Hilbert modules $\{E_t^\alpha : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{E_t^\beta : t \geq 0\}$ are isomorphic. An isomorphism between product system of Hilbert modules is a family of $M' - M'$ -linear module isomorphisms, satisfying some measurability conditions, which respects the tensor products structure induced by operator multiplication.

For a single fixed E_0 -semigroup α , automorphisms of E^α are given by the gauge cocycles of α . In fact, for every gauge cocycle U of α , U_t acts on the left of E_t^α as an adjointable operator since it is contained in $\alpha_t(M)'$ (see [1]) and it is left M' -linear since $\{U_t : t \geq 0\} \subseteq M$. This gives rise to an automorphism of E^α . Conversely, for any given automorphism $\phi_t : E_t^\alpha \mapsto E_t^\alpha$, the proof of 3.12 of [1] implies that there is a unique α -cocycle $\{U_t : t \geq 0\}$ satisfying $U_t T = \phi_t(T)$. Further

$$U_t \alpha_t(m) T = U_t T m = \phi_t(T) m = \alpha_t(m) \phi_t(T) = \alpha_t(m) U_t T,$$

for all $T \in E_t^\alpha, m \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. Now Lemma 8.3 implies that $U_t \in \alpha_t(M)'$, hence is a gauge cocycle.

Remark 8.4. *Our computations in Section 7 imply that the product systems of Hilbert modules associated with Clifford flows, even Clifford flows and free flows do not admit any non-trivial automorphisms.*

Proposition 8.5. *If α and β E_0 -semigroups are on II_1 factors M_1 and M_2 respectively, then*

$$E_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta} = E_t^\alpha \otimes E_t^\beta \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly for $T \in E_t^\alpha$ and $S \in E_t^\beta$ $T \otimes S \in E_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta}$. So it follows that $E_t^\alpha \otimes E_t^\beta \subseteq E_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta}$ for all $t > 0$. On the other hand suppose there exists a $X \in E_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta}$ such that $X^*(T \otimes S) = 0$ for all $T \in E_t^\alpha$ and $S \in E_t^\beta$, then $X^*(m'_1 \otimes m'_2)\Omega = 0$ for any $m'_1 \in M'_1$ and $m'_2 \in M'_2$, thanks to Lemma 8.3. Hence $X = 0$. Now the rest of the proof follows from Lemma 8.2. \square

Due to the existence of the canonical unit all E_0 -semigroups on a type II_1 factor are spatial, that is they admit a unit. We can define complete spatiality as follows.

Definition 8.6. *An E_0 -semigroup is said to be type I, or completely spatial, if there exists a subset $S \subset \mathcal{U}_\alpha$*

$E_t^\alpha = [u_{t_1} m'_1 u_{t_2} \cdots u_{t_n} m'_n : u_{t_i} \in S, m'_i \in M', t_1 + \cdots + t_n = t, n \in \mathbb{N}]$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes the weak operator closure of the span. Otherwise it is said to be type II.

We know that the CAR flow on $B(\Gamma_a(L^2((0, \infty), k^{\mathbb{C}})))$ is type I, so we do have enough units in the associated product system of Hilbert spaces $\{H_t\}$. Since the product system of Hilbert modules associated with the Clifford flow, are the (right) M' -modules generated by $\{T_{\xi_t} : \xi_t \in H_t\}$, it is easy to see that the condition in Definition 8.6 is satisfied, with S being the set of all units of the CAR flow. This show that Clifford flows are of type I.

It is shown in [11], that the free flows on $B(\Gamma_f(L^2((0, \infty), k^{\mathbb{C}})))$ are type I, for any $\dim(k)$. Arguing as for Clifford flows, we get that free flows of any rank on $\Phi(k)$ are of type I. In fact, the above argument can be extended to prove that any E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor M , which is the restriction of a type I E_0 -semigroup on $B(L^2(M))$, is type I.

Proposition 8.7. *Let α be a E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor M , which is not an automorphism of M . Then*

$$E_t^\alpha \cap M = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Fix $t > 0$. Suppose $m_t \in E_t^\alpha \cap M$ is an intertwiner for α_t then $m_t^* m_t \in M \cap M'$. Without loss of generality, after dividing by a scalar if needed, we assume that $m_t^* m_t = 1$. If m_t is unitary, we would have $\alpha_t(m) = m_t m m_t^*$ for all $m \in m$. Since $m_t \in M$, this means α_t is an (inner) automorphism, contradicting our assumption. So m_t is a isometry in M , which is not a unitary. But this is not possible since M is a finite factor. \square

Remark 8.8. *Here we have defined type I using right M' -linear combinations of products of units, and we expect our definition to accommodate a rich theory with both type I and type II examples. The other possible definition of type I, using left M' -linear combinations of tensor products of units, is vacuous. Under this definition, all product systems of Hilbert modules associated to E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors are of type I. This follows immediately from lemma 8.3.*

In [7] a different definition of type I is given. Namely, that the product system is generated by “continuous units” (see [7] for precise definitions). Under this condition no product system of Hilbert modules associated to an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor is type I. This follows from the fact that type I product systems in [7] admit a central unital unit, whereas the product systems associated to E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors contain no central elements, by Proposition 8.7. In fact the associated CP semigroup of any unit in E_t^α cannot be uniformly continuous, as defined and assumed in the main results of [7].

Now we describe the super product system for an E_0 -semigroup α on a II_1 -factor M . For every $t > 0$, let $H_t^\alpha = E_t^\alpha \cap E_t^{\alpha'}$. Then the operator norm on H_t^α arises from an inner product and H_t^α is a Hilbert space with respect to that inner product. In fact, for $S, T \in H_t^\alpha$ and $m \in M, m' \in M'$, we have:

$$T^* S m = T^* \alpha_t(m) S = (\alpha_t(m^*) T)^* S = (T m^*)^* S = m T^* S,$$

since $S, T \in E_t^\alpha$; on the other hand we also have

$$T^* S m' = T^* \alpha'_t(m') S = (\alpha'_t(m'^*) T)^* S = (T m'^*)^* S = m' T^* S,$$

since $S, T \in E_t^{\alpha'}$. Since M is factor, $T^* S$ commutes with all operators in $B(L^2(M))$. So $T^* S$ is a scalar multiple of the identity and we define $\langle S, T \rangle_t$ by $T^* S = \langle S, T \rangle_t 1$. The operator norm norm on H_t^α coincides with the norm given by this inner product since

$$\|T\|^2 = \|T^* T\| = \|\langle T, T \rangle_t 1\| = \langle T, T \rangle_t.$$

Since both E_t^α and $E_t^{\alpha'}$ are closed under operator norm, H_t^α is also closed under operator norm. Hence H_t^α is Hilbert space with respect to this inner product.

The fact that both E_s^α and $E_t^{\alpha'}$ are product systems of Hilbert modules, imply for $X_s \in H_s^\alpha$ and $X_t \in H_t^\alpha$, that $X_s X_t \in H_{s+t}^\alpha$, that is $H_s^\alpha H_t^\alpha \subseteq H_{s+t}^\alpha$. Further for $X_s, Y_s \in H_s^\alpha$ and $X_t, Y_t \in H_t^\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_s X_t, Y_s Y_t \rangle_{s+t} 1 &= X_t^* (X_s^* Y_s) Y_t \\ &= \langle X_s, Y_s \rangle_s X_t^* Y_t \\ &= \langle X_s, Y_s \rangle_s \langle X_t, Y_t \rangle_t 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have verified that the map $U_{s,t} : H_t \otimes H_s \mapsto H_{s+t}$, given by

$$U_{s,t}(Y_s \otimes Y_t) = Y_s Y_t, \quad Y_s \in H_s, Y_t \in H_t$$

is an isometry. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 8.9. *Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ be an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor M , then $\{H_t^\alpha, U_{s,t}\}_{s,t \in (0,\infty)}$ is a super product system.*

Proof. The associativity axiom follows immediately from the associativity of multiplication of operators. The measurability axiom can be proved in an exactly similar manner to product systems, as given in [4] (see Theorem 2.4.7, page 37). \square

Remarks 8.10. $\{U_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a multi-unit for an E_0 -semigroup α_t on II_1 factor if and only if $\{U_t : t \geq 0\}$ forms a unit for the super product system $(H_t^\alpha, U_{s,t})$. (For semigroups measurability is equivalent to strong continuity.)

The above described concrete super product systems forms an invariant for E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors.

Theorem 8.11. *If two E_0 -semigroups α and β acting on a II_1 factor M are cocycle conjugate, then the corresponding super product systems $\{H_t^\alpha : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{H_t^\beta : t \geq 0\}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. If β is replaced with a conjugate version, we get an isomorphic super product system given by the isomorphism $T \mapsto Ad_U(T)$, where U is the unitary operator which implements the conjugacy (see Section 2). So without loss of generality we assume M acts standardly on $L^2(M)$ and α and β are cocycle perturbations of each other. Let U_t be an α -cocycle such that $\beta_t(\cdot) = U_t \alpha_t(\cdot) U_t^*$. Then $JU_t J$ is an α' -cocycle. Now define $V_t : H_t^\alpha \mapsto H_t^\beta$ by

$$V_t(T) = U_t J U_t J T, \quad \forall T \in H_t^\alpha.$$

V_t provides the required isomorphism. Indeed for $T \in H_t^\alpha$,

$$\beta_t(m) V_t(T) = U_t \alpha_t(m) U_t^* U_t J U_t J T = U_t J U_t J \alpha_t(m) T = V_t(T) m,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'_t(m') V_t(T) &= J U_t J \alpha'_t(m') J U_t^* J J U_t J U_t T \\ &= J U_t J U_t \alpha'_t(m') T = V_t(T) m', \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M, m' \in M'$. This implies $V_t H_t^\alpha \subseteq H_t^\beta$. By reversing role of α and β with V_t^* the opposite inclusion also follows. It is easy to verify V_t is unitary and provides a Borel map between the super product systems. The equation 3 can be checked as follows. For $T_s \in H_s^\alpha, T_t \in H_t^\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{s+t} U_{s,t}^\alpha (T_s \otimes T_t) &= V_{s+t} T_s T_t \\ &= U_{s+t} J U_{s+t} J T_s T_t \\ &= U_s \alpha_s(U_t) J U_s J \alpha'_s(J U_t J) T_s T_t \\ &= U_s J U_s J T_s U_t J U_t J T_t \\ &= U_{s,t}^\beta (U_s J U_s J T_s \otimes U_t J U_t J T_t) \\ &= U_{s,t}^\beta (V_s \otimes V_t) (T_s \otimes T_t) \end{aligned}$$

So we do have $(H^\alpha, U_{s,t}^\alpha) \cong (H^\beta, U_{s,t}^\beta)$. \square

Recall that the $*$ -preserving property of α_t implies that J and S_t commute for all $t \geq 0$. Now Lemma 8.3 implies

$$(15) \quad H_t^\alpha = (\alpha_t(M)' \cap J \alpha_t(M)' J) S_t.$$

Proposition 8.12. *If α and β are two E_0 -semigroups on II_1 factors M_1 and M_2 respectively. Then*

$$H_t^\alpha \otimes H_t^\beta = H_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly the canonical units satisfy $S_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta} = S_t^\alpha \otimes S_t^\beta$ for all $t > 0$. If J_1 and J_2 are modular conjugation with respect to M_1 and M_2 respectively, then the modular conjugation J for $M_1 \otimes M_2$ is given by $J_1 \otimes J_2$. Now, thanks to the relation 15, we have $H_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (((\alpha_t \otimes \beta_t)(M_1 \otimes M_2))' \cap J((\alpha_t \otimes \beta_t)(M_1 \otimes M_2))' J) S_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta} \\ &= ((\alpha_t(M_1)' \otimes \beta_t(M_2)') \cap (J_1 \alpha_t(M_1)' J_1 \otimes J_2 \beta_t(M_2)' J_2)) S_t^{\alpha \otimes \beta} \\ &= (\alpha_t(M_1)' \cap J_1 \alpha_t(M_1)' J_1) S_t^\alpha \otimes (\beta_t(M_2)' \cap J_2 \beta_t(M_2)' J_2) S_t^\beta \\ &= H_t^\alpha \otimes H_t^\beta \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

To facilitate neat computations, we record a simple observation as the following proposition, which realizes the super product systems $(H_t^\alpha, U_{s,t}^\alpha)$ as concrete Hilbert subspaces of $H = L^2(M)$.

Proposition 8.13. *Let α be an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor M acting standardly on $H = L^2(M)$, with cyclic and separating vector Ω . Then $(H_t^\alpha \Omega, U_{s,t}^\alpha)$ forms a super product system, where*

$$U_{s,t}(X\Omega \otimes Y\Omega) = XY\Omega, \quad \forall X \in H_s^\alpha, Y \in H_t^\alpha.$$

Further $(H_t^\alpha \Omega, U_{s,t})$ is isomorphic to $(H_t^\alpha, U_{s,t}^\alpha)$ as a super product system.

Proof. The association $H_t^\alpha \ni X \mapsto X\Omega \in H_t^\alpha \Omega$ preserves inner products and is onto, hence provides a unitary operator. In fact

$$\langle X\Omega, Y\Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, X^*Y\Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, \langle X, Y \rangle \Omega \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle.$$

□

Since the following useful assertion will be repeatedly used, we separate it as a lemma. Here and elsewhere, $\rho_{m_0}(= Jm_0^*J)$ denotes the bounded operator determined by right multiplication by m_0 , that is

$$\rho_{m_0}(m\Omega) = mm_0\Omega, \quad \forall m \in M.$$

From Proposition 8.3, we have

$$H_t^\alpha = E_t^\alpha \cap E_t^{\alpha'} = [MS_t] \cap \alpha_t(M)'S_t.$$

Hence for any $A \in H_t^\alpha$ there exists a $T \in \alpha_t(M)'$ such that $A = TS_t$.

Lemma 8.14. *Let α be an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor M . Then for any $A = TS_t \in H_t^\alpha$ we have*

$$\rho_{\alpha_t(m)}(T\Omega) = T\alpha_t(m)\Omega, \quad \forall m \in M.$$

Proof. Since $TS_t \in [MS_t\Omega]$, there exists a net $\{m_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq M$ such that $m_\lambda S_t$ converges strongly to TS_t . Thus the net $\{m_\lambda S_t m\Omega = m_\lambda \alpha_t(m)\Omega\}$ converges in norm to $TS_t m\Omega = T\alpha_t(m)\Omega$ for all $m \in \mathcal{R}$. Since $m_\lambda \Omega$ converges to $T\Omega$, we conclude that $\{\rho_{\alpha_t(m)}(m_\lambda \Omega) = m_\lambda \alpha_t(m)\Omega\}$ converges also to $\rho_{\alpha_t(m)}(T\Omega)$. The result follows. □

Now we turn our attention to Clifford flows, our basic examples of E_0 -semigroups on the hyperfine II_1 factor \mathcal{R} . Set

$$H_t^{e,n} = [\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{2m}; \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{2m} \in L^2((0, t), k^{\mathbb{C}}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0],$$

for all $t \geq 0$, and $\dim(k) = n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We may write just H_t^e in many instances when n is arbitrary but fixed.

We fix the following notations, when we work with antisymmetric Fock spaces. Pick distinct posets Λ_1, Λ_2 order isomorphic to \mathbb{N} . Fix an orthonormal basis $\{f_i\}_{i \in \Lambda_1}$ for $L^2((0, t), k)$ and $\{g_j\}_{j \in \Lambda_2}$ for $L^2((t, \infty), k)$ so that $\{f_i\}_{i \in \Lambda_1} \cup \{g_j\}_{j \in \Lambda_2}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2((0, \infty), k)$. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{I = (i_1, i_2 \cdots i_m) \in \Lambda_1^m : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m, m \in \mathbb{N}_0\};$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F = (j_1, j_2 \cdots j_m) \in \Lambda_2^m : 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m, m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

For $I = (i_1, i_2 \cdots i_m) \in \mathcal{P}$, $F = (j_1, j_2 \cdots j_m) \in \mathcal{F}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} u(I) &= u(f_{i_1})u(f_{i_2}) \cdots u(f_{i_m}); \\ u(F) &= u(g_{j_1})u(g_{j_2}) \cdots u(g_{j_m}). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is well known that $\{u(I)u(F)\Omega : I \in \mathcal{P}, F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for the antisymmetric Fock space $\Gamma_a(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{C}))$ (see for instance [20]).

The following proposition describes the super product system for Clifford flows. In the following proposition and elsewhere, an empty wedge product is interpreted as the vacuum vector Ω .

Proposition 8.15. *Let α^n be the Clifford flow of rank n . Then $H_t^{\alpha^n} \Omega = H_t^{e,n} \Omega$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

Further the unitary map $U_{s,t} : H_s^{\alpha^n} \Omega \otimes H_t^{\alpha^n} \Omega \mapsto H_{s+t}^{\alpha^n} \Omega$, implementing the tensor products in the super product system, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{s,t}((\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{2m}) \otimes (\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_{2m'})) \\ &= \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{2m} \wedge S_s \eta_1 \wedge S_s \eta_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge S_s \eta_{2m'} \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{2m} \in L^2(0, s)$, $\eta_1, \eta_2 \cdots \eta_{2m'} \in L^2(0, t)$.

Proof. Let the operators T_{ξ_t}, T'_{ξ_t} be as defined in 10, 14. Through our earlier discussion at the beginning of this section, it is easy to see, we have $T_{\xi_t} = T'_{\xi_t}$ whenever $\xi_t \in H_t^e$. Consequently $T_{\xi_t} \in H_t^\alpha$, for all $\xi_t \in H_t^e$. We need to prove that H_t^α contains only these elements. Observe $T_{\xi_t} \Omega = \xi_t$.

Fix $t > 0$. Let $H_t^{\alpha^n} \ni A = TS_t$, with $T \in \alpha_t^n(\mathcal{M})'$ be an arbitrary element, then $A\Omega = TS_t\Omega = T\Omega$. There exists a unique expansion

$$(16) \quad T\Omega = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}, J \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda(I, F) u(I) u(F) \Omega, \quad \lambda(I, F) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Notice that $\alpha_t^n(\mathcal{R}) = \{u(F') : F' \in \mathcal{F}\}''$. So by lemma 8.14 we have

$$(17) \quad \rho_{u(F')}(T\Omega) = Tu(F')\Omega, \quad \forall F' \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since $T \in \alpha_t^n(\mathcal{R})'$, we now use the relation $u(F')Tu(F') = T$ for all $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
T\Omega &= u(F')Tu(F')^*\Omega \\
&= u(F')\rho_{u(F')^*}(T\Omega) \text{ (using relation 17)} \\
&= u(F')\rho_{u(F')^*}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}, F \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda(I, F)u(I)u(F)\Omega\right) \text{ (using 16)} \\
&= u(F')\sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}, F \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda(I, F)\rho_{u(F')^*}(u(I)u(F)\Omega) \\
&= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}, F \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda(I, F)u(F')u(I)u(F)u(F')^*\Omega \\
&= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}, F \in \mathcal{F}} \mu_{F'}(I, F)\lambda(I, F)u(I)u(F)\Omega,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{F'}(I, F) = (-1)^{\sigma_{F'}(I, F)}$ with

$$\sigma_{F'}(I, F) = |I||F'| + |F||F'| - |F \cap F'|.$$

Since the expansion 16 is unique we must have $\sigma_{F'}(I, F)$ is even for all $F' \in \mathcal{F}$. So we conclude, in the expansion 16 of $T\Omega$, $\lambda(I, F) = 0$ except for the terms indexed by (I, F) satisfying $|I|$ is even and F is empty. Since we started with an arbitrary element of $H_t^{\alpha^n}\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_t^{\alpha^n}\Omega &= [u(\xi_1)u(\xi_2)\cdots u(\xi_{2m})\Omega : \xi_1, \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{2m} \in L^2((0, t), \mathbf{k}^{\mathbb{C}}), m \in \mathbb{N}_0] \\
&= [\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{2m}; \xi_1, \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{2m} \in L^2(0, t), \mathbf{k}^{\mathbb{C}}), m \in \mathbb{N}_0].
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the remaining assertion, notice, for $H_t^{\alpha^n} \ni A = TS_t$, with $T \in \alpha_t^n(\mathbf{M})'$, that

$$Am\Omega = TS_tm\Omega = \alpha_t^n(m)T\Omega = \alpha_t^n(m)A\Omega.$$

Suppose $H_s^{\alpha^n}\Omega \ni A_s\omega = u(\xi_1)u(\xi_2)\cdots u(\xi_{2n})\Omega$ and $H_t^{\alpha^n}\Omega \ni A_t\omega = u(\eta_1)u(\eta_2)\cdots u(\eta_{2m'})\Omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
A_sA_t\Omega &= A_su(\eta_1)u(\eta_2)\cdots u(\eta_{2m'})\Omega \\
&= \alpha_s^n(u(\eta_1)u(\eta_2)\cdots u(\eta_{2m'}))A_s\Omega \\
&= u(S_s\eta_1)u(S_s\eta_2)\cdots u(S_s\eta_{2m'})u(\xi_1)u(\xi_2)\cdots u(\xi_{2n})\Omega
\end{aligned}$$

The above computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{s,t}((u(\xi_1)u(\xi_2)\cdots u(\xi_{2n})\Omega) \otimes (u(\eta_1)u(\eta_2)\cdots u(\eta_{2m'})\Omega)) \\
= u(S_s\eta_1)u(S_s\eta_2)\cdots u(S_s\eta_{2m'})u(\xi_1)u(\xi_2)\cdots u(\xi_{2n})\Omega,
\end{aligned}$$

which consequently implies the remaining assertion of the proposition. The proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 8.16. *The even Clifford flow of rank n has the same super product system as the Clifford flow of index n .*

Proof. Observe that the GNS Hilbert space for even Clifford flow of rank n is the subspace of the antisymmetric Fock space generated by the even particle spaces, which is $H^{e,n}$. Let β^n be the even Clifford flow of rank n with $n \in \{1, 2, 3 \cdots \infty\}$.

We have the isometry $U_t^e : H_t^e \otimes H^e \mapsto H^e$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & U_t^e(\xi_1^t \wedge \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge \xi_{2n}^t) \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \cdots \wedge \xi_{2m}) \\ &= T_t \xi_1 \wedge T_t \xi_2 \cdots \wedge T_t \xi_{2m} \wedge \xi_1^t \wedge \xi_2^t \cdots \wedge \xi_{2n}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Direct verification shows, for $\xi_t^e \in H_t^e$, the operator

$$T_{\xi_t^e}^e \xi^e = U_t^e(\xi_t^e \otimes \xi^e)$$

defines an intertwiner for β_t^n . Hence $H_t^e \subseteq H_t^{\beta^n}$.

To show the other way, fix $t > 0$ and an arbitrary $H_t^{\beta^n} \ni A = TS_t$, with $T \in \beta_t^n(M)'$. Then $A\Omega = TS_t\Omega = T\Omega$. Let \mathcal{P}_e (respectively \mathcal{F}_e) consist of the tuples in \mathcal{P} (respectively \mathcal{F}) with even length, and \mathcal{P}_o (respectively \mathcal{F}_o) the tuples with odd length. Then

$$\{u(I_e)u(F_e)\Omega : I_e \in \mathcal{P}_e, F_e \in \mathcal{F}_e\} \cup \{u(I_o)u(F_o)\Omega : I_o \in \mathcal{P}_o, F_o \in \mathcal{F}_o\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis for H_e . Therefore there exists a unique expansion of $T\Omega$ as

$$(18) \quad \sum_{I_e \in \mathcal{P}_e, F_e \in \mathcal{F}_e} \lambda(I_e, F_e) u(I_e)u(F_e)\Omega + \sum_{I_o \in \mathcal{P}_o, F_o \in \mathcal{F}_o} \lambda(I_o, F_o) u(I_o)u(F_o)\Omega,$$

with $\lambda(I_e, F_e), \lambda(I_o, F_o) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Thanks to lemma 8.14 and 18, using the relation $u(F'_e)Tu(F'_e) = T$ for all $F'_e \in \mathcal{F}_e$,

$$\begin{aligned} T\Omega &= u(F'_e)Tu(F'_e)^*\Omega \\ &= u(F'_e)\rho_{u(F'_e)^*}(T\Omega) \\ &= \sum_{I_e \in \mathcal{P}_e, F_e \in \mathcal{F}_e} \lambda(I_e, F_e) u(F'_e)u(I_e)u(F_e)u(F'_e)^*\Omega \\ &\quad + \sum_{I_o \in \mathcal{P}_o, F_o \in \mathcal{F}_o} \lambda(I_o, F_o) u(F'_e)u(I_o)u(F_o)u(F'_e)^*\Omega \\ &= \sum_{I_e \in \mathcal{P}_e, F_e \in \mathcal{F}_e} \mu'_{F'_e}(F_e) \lambda(I_e, F_e) u(I_e)u(F_e)\Omega \\ &\quad + \sum_{I_o \in \mathcal{P}_o, F_o \in \mathcal{F}_o} \mu'_{F'_e}(F_o) \lambda(I_o, F_o) u(I_o)u(F_o)\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{F'_e}(F_{e/o}) = (-1)^{\sigma'_{F'_e}(F_{e/o})}$ with

$$\sigma_{F'_e}(F_{e/o}) = |F_{e/o}| |F'_e| - |F_{e/o} \cap F'_e|.$$

Here we have used the fact that $u(F'_e)$ and $u(I_{e/o})$ commute. Again using the uniqueness of the expansion, forces $\sigma'_{F'_e}(F_{e/o})$ is even for all $F'_e \in \mathcal{F}$. So we conclude, in the expansion 16 of $T\Omega$, $\lambda(I_e, F_e) = 0$ except for the terms indexed by (I_e, F_e) with F_e empty, and that $\lambda(I_o, F_o) = 0$ for all (I_o, F_o) . Since we started with an arbitrary element of $H_t^{\beta^n} \Omega$, we have $H_t^{\beta^n} \Omega = H_t^{e,n}$. The remaining assertion about the unitary $U_{s,t}$ can be verified in an exactly similar manner as in Proposition 8.15. \square

Remark 8.17. *Note that the above super product systems for Clifford flows and even Clifford flows are not product systems.*

From Remark 5.12 and Corollary 7.4 the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 8.18. *The coupling index of Clifford flows and even Clifford flows is zero for any rank.*

Now we turn our attention to free flows. We fix the following notations for free Fock space. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2((0, \infty), k)$. Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \{I = (i_1, i_2 \cdots i_m) : i_l \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq l \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

(When $m = 0$ the tuple is empty.) For $I = (i_1, i_2 \cdots i_m) \in \mathcal{I}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} l(I) &= l(f_{i_1})l(f_{i_2}) \cdots l(f_{i_m}); \\ s(I) &= s(f_{i_1})s(f_{i_2}) \cdots s(f_{i_m}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{l(I)\Omega : I \in \mathcal{I}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for the free Fock space $H = \Gamma_f(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k^{\mathbb{C}}))$. (We assume $l(I)\Omega = \Omega = s(I)\Omega$ for the empty tuple I .) We call a tuple $I = (i_1, i_2 \cdots i_m)$ as reduced if $i_l \neq i_{l+1}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq m-1$. Using induction, it is easy to verify, for any reduced tuple $I = (i_1, i_2 \cdots i_m) \in \mathcal{I}$, $i_1 \neq i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$s(I)\Omega = l(I)\Omega; \quad s(iI)\Omega = l(iI)\Omega; \quad s(i^2I)\Omega = l(i^2I)\Omega + l(I)\Omega.$$

(By $(i^r I)$ we denote the concatenated tuple $(i, i, \cdots i, i_1, \cdots i_n)$.) Further

$$\begin{aligned} s(i^{2m+1}I)\Omega &= \sum_{r=0}^m k_{2m+1}^{2r+1} l(i^{2r+1}I)\Omega; \\ s(i^{2m+2}I)\Omega &= \sum_{r=0}^m k_{2m}^{2r} l(i^{2r}I)\Omega \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. k_m^r are positive integers satisfying relations $k_m^r = 0$ if $r > n$ or $r < 0$, and $k_{2m+1}^{2r} = 0 = k_{2m}^{2r-1}$ for all r . Further $k_2^2 = 1 = k_2^1$ and the following recursive relation hold

$$k_{2m+1}^{2r+1} = k_{2m}^{2r+2} + k_{2m}^{2r}; \quad k_{2m}^{2r} = k_{2m+1}^{2r+1} + k_{2m}^{2r-1}, \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq m.$$

These relations can be extended to a tuple of the form $(i^{r_1} I_1 i^{r_2} I_2 \cdots i^{r_p} I_p)$, where $I_l \in \mathcal{I}$ are reduced tuples for $r_l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ $1 \leq l \leq p$. So we have positive integers $k(I, I')$ such that

$$(19) \quad s(I)\Omega = \sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} k(I, I') l(I')\Omega, \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{I}$$

and $k(I, I')$ is zero except for finitely many I' for any fixed $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

For any reduced tuple $I = (i_1, i_2 \cdots i_m) \in \mathcal{I}$, $i_1 \neq i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $l(i^2 I)\Omega = s(i^2 I)\Omega - s(I)\Omega$. Using induction, generalizing to a recursive relation for $l(\cdot)$ in terms of $s(\cdot)$ similarly as above, we can conclude there exists integers $k'(I, I')$ satisfying

$$(20) \quad l(I')\Omega = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} k'(I', I) s(I)\Omega, \quad \forall I' \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Again this decomposition is unique and finite. We have

$$(21) \quad \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} k'(I', I) k(I, I'') = \delta_{I' I''} \quad \forall I', I'' \in \mathcal{I}.$$

$$(22) \quad \sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} k(I, I') k'(I', I'') = \delta_{I, I''} \quad \forall I, I'' \in \mathcal{I}$$

Let $\Gamma_f^0(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ is the finite linear span of all finite particle vectors.

Lemma 8.19. *Every $\xi \in \Gamma_f^0(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ has a unique expansion as*

$$\xi = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(I) s(I)\Omega.$$

Proof. Existence of the expansion follows, since $\{l(I)\Omega : I \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an orthogonal basis and from equation 20. For any $\xi \in \Gamma_f^0(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ with $\xi = \sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda(I') l(I')\Omega$ we have the decomposition

$$\xi = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda(I') k'(I', I) \right) s(I)\Omega.$$

Since $\xi \in \Gamma_f^0(L^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{k}))$, the length of I' is bounded, and hence any fixed I can future in $k(I', I)$ for only finitely many I' . This implies that, in the above expression, $\sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda(I') k'(I', I)$ is a finite sum.

Now suppose $\xi = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(I) s(I) \Omega$ be any other expansion, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(I) \left(\sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} k(I, I') l(I') \Omega \right) \\ &= \sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(I) k(I, I') \right) l(I') \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(I) k(I, I') = \lambda(I')$ for all $I' \in \mathcal{I}$. (Again note that this is a finite sum.) Now using relation 22, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda(I') k'(I', I) &= \sum_{I' \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{I'' \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(I'') k(I, I') k'(I', I'') \\ &= \mu(I) \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the expansion is unique. \square

Let P_n be the projection onto the closed subspace of free Fock space $[l(I)\Omega : I \in \mathcal{I}, |I| \leq n]$.

Lemma 8.20. *For every $m \in \Phi(k)$ there exists an $m_n \in \Phi(k)$ such that $P_n(m\Omega) = m_n\Omega$.*

Proof. Any $s(f_1)s(f_2)\cdots s(f_n)\Omega$ can be expanded as linear combination of $l(f_{i_1})l(f_{i_2})\cdots l(f_{i_l})\Omega$ with $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and vice versa for $l(g_1)l(g_2)\cdots l(g_n)\Omega$. This implies that the lemma holds true for elements of the form $m = s(f_1)s(f_2)\cdots s(f_n)$, and for their linear combinations. Since

$$\Phi(k) = \{s(f_1)s(f_2)\cdots s(f_n) : f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in L^2((0, \infty), k), n \in \mathbb{N}\}''$$

to prove the remaining assertions, it is enough if we prove, for any net $\{m_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ satisfying $P_n m_\lambda \Omega = (m_\lambda)_n \Omega$ and $m_\lambda \rightarrow m$ strongly, it follows that $(m_\lambda)_n$ also converges strongly to some $m_n \in \Phi(k)$ and $P_n m \Omega = m_n \Omega$.

Clearly $(m_\lambda)_n \Omega$ converges to $P_n m \Omega$. Consequently for any arbitrary $m_0 \in \Phi(k)$ $m_\lambda^n m_0 \Omega = \rho_{m_0}((m_\lambda)_n \Omega)$ is convergent. This means, since $\{(m_\lambda)_n : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a bounded net, it is strongly convergent. Finally if $(m_\lambda)_n \rightarrow m_n \in \Phi(k)$ strongly, then clearly $P_n m \Omega = m_n \Omega$. \square

We can replace $\Phi(k)$ by its commutant, $s(\cdot)$ by the right multiplication $\rho_{s(\cdot)}$ and by exactly imitating the proof we can arrive at the following Corollary.

Corollary 8.21. *For every $m' \in \Phi(k)'$ there exists an $m'_n \in \Phi(k)'$ such that $P_n(m'\Omega) = m'_n\Omega$.*

Lemma 8.22. *Let γ be the free flow on $\Phi(k)$. Then for every $\xi \in H_t^\gamma \Omega$ $P_n \xi \in H_t^\gamma \Omega$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. We have $H_t^\gamma = [\Phi(k)S_t] \cap [\Phi(k)'S_t]$. So, for $TS_t \in H_t^\alpha$, there exists nets $\{m_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \Phi(k)$ $\{m'_{\lambda'} : \lambda' \in \Lambda'\} \subseteq \Phi(k)'$ satisfying

$$m_\lambda S_t \rightarrow TS_t \text{ and } m'_{\lambda'} S_t \rightarrow TS_t \text{ strongly.}$$

Then both $\{(m_\lambda)_n \Omega\}$ and $\{(m'_{\lambda'})_n \Omega\}$ converges to $T\Omega$. This implies for any $m_0 \in \Phi(k)$, that $(m_\lambda)_n S_t m_0 \Omega = \rho_{\gamma_t(m_0)}((m_\lambda)_n \Omega)$ converges to $\rho_{\gamma_t(m_0)}(T\Omega)$. But by Lemma 8.14 we have

$$\rho_{\gamma_t(m_0)}(T\Omega) = T\gamma_t(m_0)\Omega = TS_t m_0 \Omega.$$

So we conclude that $(m_\lambda)_n S_t$ converges strongly to TS_t . Replacing appropriately with primes and left action of $\Phi(k)'$, and by exactly the same reasoning, we get $(m'_{\lambda'})_n S_t$ converges strongly to TS_t . So $P_n \xi \in [\Phi(k)S_t] \cap [\Phi(k)'S_t] = H_t^\gamma \Omega$. \square

Proposition 8.23. *Let γ be free flow on $\Phi(k)$ of any rank. Then $H_t^\gamma = \mathbb{C}S_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Clearly $S_t \in H_t^\gamma$ for all $t \geq 0$. For any $\xi \in H_t^\gamma$ $P_n \xi \rightarrow \xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, thanks to Lemma 8.22, it is enough if we prove that $H_t^\gamma \Omega \cap \Gamma^0(L^2((0, \infty), k^\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}$. Let $TS_t \in H_t^\gamma$ such that $T\Omega \in \Gamma^0(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k^\mathbb{C}))$ with $T \in \gamma_t(\Phi(k))'$ be any arbitrary element. As before let $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2((0, \infty), k)$ and also let $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2((t, \infty), k)$. By Lemma 8.19, there exists a unique expansion

$$(23) \quad T\Omega = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda(I) s(I) \Omega, \quad \lambda(I) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that $s(h_j) \in \alpha_t(\Phi(k))$. So by lemma 8.14 we have

$$(24) \quad \rho_{s(h_j)}(T\Omega) = Ts(h_j)\Omega, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $T \in \gamma_t(\Phi(k))'$, we have $s(h_j)T\Omega = Ts(h_j)\Omega$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using equations 23 and 24 we have

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda(I) s(f_j) s(I) \Omega = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda(I) s(I) s(f_j) \Omega \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since this expansion is unique, $\lambda(I) = 0$ for any non-empty tuple not ending with j . But this is true for all j , so $\lambda(I) = 0$ for any non-empty $I \in \mathcal{I}$. So $T\Omega = \lambda\Omega$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and proof of the proposition is over. \square

9. NON COCYCLE CONJUGACY

Even though both the Gauge dimension and coupling index are zero for Clifford flows and even Clifford flows of any index, we still show in this section that both these families contain mutually non cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups. We use the index defined through boundary representation by Alevras (see [21] and [1]) and analogues of the C^* -semiflows introduced by Remus Floricel in [10].

We briefly recall the definition of boundary representation as defined by R. Powers and the index defined by Alveras, which is a conjugacy invariant. Let α be an E_0 -semigroup on a II_1 factor M with generator δ , whose domain we denote by $Dom(\delta)$. The generator $-d$ of the canonical unit $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a maximal skew-symmetric operator whose deficiency space can be identified with the Hilbert space $K = Dom(d^*)/Dom(d)$, with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ defined by

$$\langle [\xi], [\eta] \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle d^* \xi, \eta \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi, d^* \eta \rangle.$$

It is shown in [21] that elements $m \in Dom(\delta)$ leave both $Dom(d^*)$ and $Dom(d)$ invariant, and that the map

$$\pi_\alpha(m) : Dom(\delta) \mapsto B(K), \quad \pi_\alpha(m)[\xi] = [m\xi]$$

is a norm continuous $*$ -representation of $Dom(\delta)$.

If $p \in \pi_\alpha(Dom(\delta))'$ is the largest projection such that the subrepresentation $\pi_\alpha(m)|_{pK}$ is normal, then by extending we get a normal representation of M on pK . The Powers-Alevras index is defined as the M -dimension of this representation, that is $Ind(\alpha) = \dim_M(pK)$.

For Clifford flows $p = 1$ and the boundary representation extends to a normal representation of \mathcal{R} on $K = Dom(d^*)/Dom(d)$ (see [21]). Since the Clifford flow of rank n is a restriction of the corresponding CAR flow of rank n , and the antisymmetric Fock space is the GNS Hilbert space for \mathcal{R} , it follows from [21] that the Powers-Alevras index for Clifford flow of rank n is also n . It is mentioned in [1] that the index for even Clifford flow of rank 1 is 1. We give a proof for general rank n .

For a fixed multiplicity n , denote the generators of the Clifford flow and even Clifford flow of rank n by δ and δ_e , and denote the generators of their respective canonical units by $-d$ and $-d_e$. We will denote the boundary representation of the Clifford flow by π and of the even Clifford flow by π_e . For definiteness we write $\mathcal{R} \supset \mathcal{R}_e$ for the factor/subfactor pair given by the Hyperfinite II_1 factor generated by even products of $\{u(f) : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)\}$ embedded

inside the Hyperfinite II_1 factor generated by all products of $\{u(f) : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})\}$.

Lemma 9.1. *There is a canonical inclusion of $\text{Dom}(d_e^*)/\text{Dom}(d_e)$ inside $\text{Dom}(d^*)/\text{Dom}(d)$ under which the restriction of π to \mathcal{R}_e has invariant subspace $\text{Dom}(d_e^*)/\text{Dom}(d_e)$.*

Proof. Since the canonical unit for the Clifford flow respects the decomposition $\Gamma_a(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{k})) = H_o \oplus H_e$ into odd and even components, its generator splits into a direct sum $d = d_o \oplus d_e$, so there is a canonical inclusion $\text{Dom}(d_e^*) \rightarrow \text{Dom}(d^*)$. This well-defines an inclusion of quotient spaces because $\text{Dom}(d_e) = \text{Dom}(d) \cap H_e$, so if $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \text{Dom}(d_e^*)$ with $\xi_1 - \xi_2 \in \text{Dom}(d)$, then $\xi_1 - \xi_2 \in \text{Dom}(d_e)$. The rest of the Lemma is immediate. \square

Note that if V is the inclusion of Lemma 9.1 then $\pi_e(x) = V^* \pi(x) V$, so π_e extends to a normal representation of \mathcal{R}_e .

Proposition 9.2. *For the even Clifford flow of multiplicity n the Powers-Avevras index is n .*

Proof. Pick an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n for \mathbf{k} and write $e(j) = \sqrt{2}e^{-x} \otimes e_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. Recall from [21] and [1] that the boundary representation of the Clifford flow decomposes into an orthogonal sum of n standard \mathcal{R} -modules, each with an $[e(j)]$ as its cyclic and separating vector.

Pick a unit vector $f \in \text{Dom}(d)$, then under the inclusion of Lemma 9.1

$$(25) \quad V\pi_e(\mathcal{R}_e)[f \wedge e(j)] = \pi(\mathcal{R})[e(j)] \cap V\text{Dom}(d_e^*)/\text{Dom}(d_e)$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. Indeed, the left hand side is clearly contained in the right, whereas

$$\pi(u(f_1) \cdots u(f_{2n-1}))[e(j)] = \pi(u(f_1) \cdots u(f_{2n-1})u(f))[f \wedge e(j)],$$

which gives the reverse inclusion. It follows from (25) that the $[f \wedge e(j)]$ generate pairwise orthogonal \mathcal{R}_e -modules and these span $\text{Dom}(d_e^*)/\text{Dom}(d_e)$. Finally, if

$$\pi_e(x)[f \wedge e(j)] = \pi_e(y)[f \wedge e(j)],$$

for some $x, y \in \mathcal{R}_e$ then

$$\pi(xu(f))[e(j)] = \pi(yu(f))[e(j)].$$

So we have $xu(f) = yu(f)$ by the separating property of $[e(j)]$. It follows that $x = y$ and hence $\text{Dom}(d_e^*)/\text{Dom}(d_e)$ decomposes into n standard \mathcal{R}_e -modules. \square

For each $t \geq 0$ let $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(t) := \alpha_t(M)' \cap M$. Since these algebras form an increasing filtration, we follow [10], and define the inductive limit C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha := \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(t)}^{\|\cdot\|}$, together with a semigroup of $*$ -endomorphisms $\alpha|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}$. This is called the C^* -semiflow corresponding to α . Since this is a subalgebra of M there is a canonical trace on \mathcal{A}_α which we denote by τ_α .

Proposition 9.3. *If α and β are cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups then their C^* -semiflows are conjugate. Moreover, the intertwining $*$ -isomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta$ is implemented by a unitary $U_\gamma : L^2(\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \tau_\alpha) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{A}_\beta, \tau_\beta)$ between the corresponding GNS spaces.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that α is a cocycle perturbation of β . If $\beta_t = Ad_{U_t} \circ \alpha_t$, for some α -cocycle $\{U_t : t \geq 0\}$, then the $*$ -isomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta$ is constructed precisely as in [10], Proposition 1.3, by taking the inductive limit of the maps $Ad_{U_t}|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha(t)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(t)$. Further γ intertwines $\alpha|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}$ and $\beta|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}$. Since each Ad_{U_t} intertwines the corresponding induced traces, the inductive limit γ satisfies $\tau_\beta \circ \gamma = \tau_\alpha$. The rest of the proposition follows immediately. \square

We call the triple $(\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \alpha|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}, \tau_\alpha)$ the τ -semiflow for α . The above lemma shows that two cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups have isomorphic (in the obvious sense of the word) τ -semiflows. For the free flows, the τ -semiflow is trivial, $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \mathbb{C}1$. However, the following proposition shows that, when it is large enough, the triple $(\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \alpha|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}, \tau_\alpha)$ says quite a lot about α .

Proposition 9.4. *Let α, β be E_0 -semigroups on the II_1 factor M and suppose that \mathcal{A}_α is ultraweakly dense in M . If the τ -semiflow for α is isomorphic to the τ -semiflow of β then α is conjugate to a restriction of β .*

Proof. The isomorphism between the semiflows is implemented by a unitary U_γ between the respective GNS spaces, as in Proposition 9.3. Since \mathcal{A}_α is ultraweakly dense in M we have

$$L^2(M, \tau) = L^2(\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \tau_\alpha).$$

Now we see that Ad_{U_γ} induces an injective $*$ -homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma} : M \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathcal{A}_\beta, \tau_\beta))$. It is clear that $\tilde{\gamma}$ intertwines α with the restriction of β to the ultraweak closure of \mathcal{A}_β , as required. \square

Corollary 9.5. *Let α and β be E_0 -semigroups on the II_1 factor M such that both \mathcal{A}_α and \mathcal{A}_β are ultraweakly dense in M . Then α is cocycle conjugate to β if and only if α is conjugate to β .*

Proof. If α and β are cocycle conjugate then their τ -semiflows are isomorphic. Hence it follows from the proof of Proposition 9.4 that α is conjugate to β . \square

Theorem 9.6. *Even Clifford flows with different rank are not cocycle conjugate. Furthermore Clifford flows with different rank are not cocycle conjugate.*

Proof. Pick a real Hilbert space k of dimension n and construct the corresponding even Clifford flow β^n . If f and g are functions in $L^2([0, t]; k)$ then $u(f)u(g)$ belongs to $\beta_t^n(M)' \cap M$, hence to \mathcal{A}_{β^n} . But the compactly supported functions are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$, so these elements generate the even Clifford algebra in the strong topology. Thus if β^n and β^m are cocycle conjugate even Clifford flows, then they satisfy the conditions of Corollary 9.5, so are conjugate. In particular this implies they have the same boundary index, as defined in [1], and hence by Proposition 9.2 they have the same rank, that is $n = m$.

If Clifford flows of rank n and m are cocycle conjugate, then their τ -semiflows are isomorphic. It is easily seen that the Clifford flow of rank n (respectively m) has the same τ -semiflow as the even Clifford flow of rank n (respectively m). Since the τ -semiflows are isomorphic, the corresponding even Clifford flows are conjugate, hence by the first part of the theorem $n = m$. \square

Remarks 9.7. 1. *The result in Theorem 9.6 is in sharp contrast to the case of reversible flows on the hyperfinite II_1 factor arising from second quantization of bilateral shifts. These are all cocycle conjugate by a result of Kawahigashi ([15]).*

2. *If a Clifford flow is cocycle conjugate to an even Clifford flow then they have isomorphic τ -flows, hence it follows that they have the same rank. However, we cannot yet show that the Clifford flow of rank n is not cocycle conjugate to the even Clifford flow of rank n .*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The first named author is supported by the UKIERI Research Collaboration Network grant *Quantum Probability, Noncommutative Geometry & Quantum Information* and the EPSRC, UK. This joint work was initiated during the second named author's visit to Lancaster University, and the visit was supported by the same UKIERI Research Collaboration Network grant.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alevras: *One parameter semigroups of endomorphisms of factors of type II_1* , J. Op. Thy., 51 (2004), 161-179.
- [2] W. Arveson: *Pure E_0 -semigroups and absorbing states*, Comm. Math. Phys., 187 (1997), 19-43.
- [3] W. Arveson: *Interactions in noncommutative dynamics*, Comm. Math. Phys., 211 (2000), 63-83.

- [4] W. Arveson: *Noncommutative dynamics and E-semigroups*, Springer Monogr. in math., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [5] B. V. Rajarama Bhat: *Cocycles of CCR flows*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 149 (2001).
- [6] B. V. Rajarama Bhat, Mithun Mukherjee: *Inclusion systems and amalgamated product of product systems*, preprint, arXiv:0907.0095v2 [math.OA].
- [7] B. V. Rajarama Bhat, S. D. Barreto, V. Liebscher, M. Skeide: *Type I product systems of Hilbert modules*, J. Funct. Anal., 212, no. 1 (2004), 121-181.
- [8] B. V. Rajarama Bhat, M. Skeide: *Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups*, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, Vol. 3, No. 4 (2000), pp. 519-575.
- [9] Panchugopal Bikram, Kunal Mukherjee, R. Srinivasan, V.S. Sunder: *Hilbert von Neumann modules*, (special issue of) Communications in Stochastic Analysis (in honour of Professor K. R. Parthasarathy), vol. 6, no. 1, (March 2012)
- [10] R. Floricel: *A conjugacy criterion for pure E_0 -semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 373, no. 1 (2011), 175-178.
- [11] N. J. Fowler: *Free E_0 -semigroups*, Canad. J. Math., Vol. 47, no. 4 (1995), 744-785.
- [12] J. Hellmich, C. Köstler, B. Kümmerer: *Non-commutative continuous Bernoulli shifts*, preprint, arXiv:math/0411565v1 [math.OA].
- [13] M. Izumi, R. Srinivasan: *Generalized CCR flows*, Comm. Math. Phys., 281 (2008), 529-571.
- [14] M. Izumi, R. Srinivasan: *Toeplitz CAR flows and type I factorizations*, Kyoto J. Math., Vol. 50, No. 1 (2010), 1-32.
- [15] Y. Kawahigashi: *One-parameter automorphism groups of the hyperfinite type II_1 factor*, J. Operator Theory 25 (1991), 37-59.
- [16] C. Köstler: *Survey on a quantum stochastic extension of Stone's theorem*, in *Advances in quantum dynamics*, Contemporary math., vol. 335, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003, pp. 209-222.
- [17] C. Lance: *Hilbert C^* -modules: a toolkit for operator algebraists*, LMS Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1995.
- [18] V. Liebscher: *Random sets and invariants for (type II) continuous tensor product systems of Hilbert spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 199 (2009)
- [19] O. Shalit, B. Solel: *Subproduct systems*, Documenta Mathematica 14 (2009) 801868
- [20] K. R. Parthasarathy: *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*, Birkhäuser Basel, Boston, Berlin (1992).
- [21] R.T. Powers: *An index theory for semigroups of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$ and type II_1 factors*, Canad. J. Math., 40 (1988), 86-114.
- [22] R. T. Powers, D. W. Robinson: *An index for continuous semigroups of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$* , J. Funct. Anal., 84 (1989), no. 1, 85-96.
- [23] R.T. Powers, G.L. Price: *Continuous spatial semigroups of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$* , Tran. Amer. Math. Soc., 321 (1990), 347-361.
- [24] M. Skeide: *Hilbert modules and applications in quantum probability*, Cottbus, 2001.
- [25] B. Tsirelson: *Non-isomorphic product systems*, Advances in Quantum Dynamics (South Hadley, MA, 2002), 273-328, Contemp. Math., 335, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

- [26] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykema, A. Nica: *Free random variables*, CRM Monogr. Ser., vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FYLDE COLLEGE, LANCASTER UNIVERSITY, LANCASTER LA1 4YF, U.K.

E-mail address: `o.margetts@lancaster.ac.uk`

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1, SIPCOT IT PARK, KELAMBAKKAM, SIRUSERI 603103, INDIA.

E-mail address: `vasanth@cmi.ac.in`